



# Designer protein assemblies with tunable phase diagrams in living cells

**Document Version:** 

Accepted author manuscript (peer-reviewed)

#### Citation for published version:

Heidenreich, M, Georgeson, JM, Locatelli, E, Rovigatti, L, Nandi, SK, Steinberg, A, Nadav, Y, Shimoni, E, Safran, SA, Doye, JPK & Levy, ED 2020, 'Designer protein assemblies with tunable phase diagrams in living cells', *Nature Chemical Biology*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 939-945. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41589-020-0576-z

*Total number of authors:* 11

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):** 10.1038/s41589-020-0576-z

Published In: Nature Chemical Biology

License: Other

#### **General rights**

@ 2020 This manuscript version is made available under the above license via The Weizmann Institute of Science Open Access Collection is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

How does open access to this work benefit you?

Let us know @ library@weizmann.ac.il

#### Take down policy

The Weizmann Institute of Science has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Weizmann Institute of Science content complies with copyright restrictions. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact library@weizmann.ac.il providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





#### mRNA Localization by Co-Translational Assembly

# 1. Extended Data

Figure #	Figure title	Filename	Figure Legend
	One sentence only	This should be the	If you are citing a reference for the first time in these
		name the file is	legends, please include all new references in the main
		saved as when it is	text Methods References section, and carry on the
		uploaded to our	numbering from the main References section of the
		system. Please	paper. If your paper does not have a Methods section,
		include the file	include all new references at the end of the main
		extension. i.e.:	Reference list.
		Smith_ED_Fig1.jpg	
Extended Data	The components	Extended_data_f	Haploid cells expressing only one of the building
Fig. 1	do not form	ig_1-01.jpg	blocks show a homogenous distribution of
	condensates when		fluorescence throughout the cytoplasm. The left-
	expressed		most image shows cells expressing the dimer
	individually.		component lacking the Im2 domain. The next
			images show cells expressing the variants of the
			dimer component in the absence of the tetramer
			component. The right-most image shows cells
			expressing the tetramer component in the
			absence of the dimer component. This result was
			replicated three times.
Extended Data	The synthetic	Extended data f	a Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
	condensates are	LACHUCU_UAta_I	micrograph of fixed and sectioned yeast shows a
Fig. Z	not mombrane-	1g_2-01.jpg	condensate formed by our minimal system, in the
	hound		cytoplasm. <b>b</b> . The yellow arrow points to one of
	bound.		several 10 nm gold-labeled anti-GFP antibodies,
			confirming the identity of the designed
			compartments. White arrows highlight the lack of
			membrane surrounding the compartment. c.
			Scanning electron microscopy micrograph of cells
			reveals the mosaic of amorphic cytoplasm. The
			region outlined by white carets exhibits a distinct
			ultrastructure <b>d</b> . Increased magnification of a
			suspected condensate within the cytoplasm,
			outlined with white carets. This ultrastructure has
			no visible membrane. Scale bar 1 µm. We did not
			carry independent biological replicates of these
			electron microscopy experiments.
Extended Data			
	Impact of affinity	Extended_data_f	<b>a.</b> We used a lattice model (Supplementary Note,
Fig. 3	Impact of affinity on the phase	Extended_data_f ig_3-01.jpg	<b>a.</b> We used a lattice model (Supplementary Note, Section 1) of the dimer-tetramer system. In the
Fig. 3	Impact of affinity on the phase diagram of the	Extended_data_f ig_3-01.jpg	<b>a.</b> We used a lattice model (Supplementary Note, Section 1) of the dimer-tetramer system. In the square lattice, concentration is measured by
Fig. 3	Impact of affinity on the phase diagram of the dimer-tetramer	Extended_data_f ig_3-01.jpg	<b>a.</b> We used a lattice model (Supplementary Note, Section 1) of the dimer-tetramer system. In the square lattice, concentration is measured by fractional occupancy of edges and vertices by dimers and tetramers respectively. We calculated
Fig. 3	Impact of affinity on the phase diagram of the dimer-tetramer system.	Extended_data_f ig_3-01.jpg	<b>a.</b> We used a lattice model (Supplementary Note, Section 1) of the dimer-tetramer system. In the square lattice, concentration is measured by fractional occupancy of edges and vertices by dimers and tetramers respectively. We calculated the binodal of this system in the plane
Fig. 3	Impact of affinity on the phase diagram of the dimer-tetramer system.	Extended_data_f ig_3-01.jpg	<b>a.</b> We used a lattice model (Supplementary Note, Section 1) of the dimer-tetramer system. In the square lattice, concentration is measured by fractional occupancy of edges and vertices by dimers and tetramers respectively. We calculated the binodal of this system in the plane corresponding to the fractional occupancy of
Fig. 3	Impact of affinity on the phase diagram of the dimer-tetramer system.	Extended_data_f ig_3-01.jpg	<b>a.</b> We used a lattice model (Supplementary Note, Section 1) of the dimer-tetramer system. In the square lattice, concentration is measured by fractional occupancy of edges and vertices by dimers and tetramers respectively. We calculated the binodal of this system in the plane corresponding to the fractional occupancy of dimer (x-axis) and tetramer (y-axis). Affinity
Fig. 3	Impact of affinity on the phase diagram of the dimer-tetramer system.	Extended_data_f ig_3-01.jpg	<b>a.</b> We used a lattice model (Supplementary Note, Section 1) of the dimer-tetramer system. In the square lattice, concentration is measured by fractional occupancy of edges and vertices by dimers and tetramers respectively. We calculated the binodal of this system in the plane corresponding to the fractional occupancy of dimer (x-axis) and tetramer (y-axis). Affinity increases in panels from left to right, where $\mu$ is
Fig. 3	Impact of affinity on the phase diagram of the dimer-tetramer system.	Extended_data_f ig_3-01.jpg	<b>a.</b> We used a lattice model (Supplementary Note, Section 1) of the dimer-tetramer system. In the square lattice, concentration is measured by fractional occupancy of edges and vertices by dimers and tetramers respectively. We calculated the binodal of this system in the plane corresponding to the fractional occupancy of dimer (x-axis) and tetramer (y-axis). Affinity increases in panels from left to right, where $\mu$ is the binding energy in units of kT of a linker and

			affinity (larger $\mu$ ) increases the fraction of the phase-separated region. <b>b</b> . We used mean-field theoretical calculations of patchy particles matching the geometry of the proteins. The binodal is calculated in the plane corresponding to the concentration of dimers (x-axis) and tetramers (y-axis). Affinity (which is linked to the energy and entropy associated with the formation of a bond, see Supplementary Note, Section 1) increases from left to right.
Extended Data Fig. 4	Simulations recapitulate the kinetic trapping effect observed experimentally.	Extended_data_f ig_4-01.jpg	<b>a</b> . Sedimentation molecular dynamics simulation of patchy particles. Several simulations were conducted at equilibrium or out-of-equilibrium while sampling different concentrations of dimer and tetramer. The protein osmotic pressure as a function of density was inferred from each simulation and used to evaluate the phase boundaries. <b>b</b> . The phase diagram of the patchy mixture computed with equilibrium and non- equilibrium simulations (squares and circles, respectively).
Extended Data Fig. 5	<i>In vivo</i> phase diagrams and fluorescence recovery profiles observed with different affinities.	Extended_data_f ig_5_1-01.jpg	<b>a</b> . <i>In vivo</i> phase diagrams observed for five affinities investigated initially. Concentrations correspond to those of the binding sites (not of the dimer and tetramer complexes). The red line highlights the diagonal, where the concentrations of binding sites of dimer and tetramers are equal. The grey dotted lines show the lower limit of concentrations that can be reliably estimated. <b>b</b> . Fluorescence recovery profiles of photobleached condensates for different interaction affinities between the components. Grey lines show individual experiments, the red line corresponds to the mean recovery and the red area shows the standard error. The transparent red area indicates the standard error. The mean recovery after 25 seconds and associated standard error are given for each affinity.
Extended Data	Replicating the measurement of <i>in</i>	extended_data_f	Phase diagrams measured for nine affinities. Five affinities come from replicating experiments
115.0	vivo phase	18 <sup>-</sup> 0.1hg	shown in Fig. S6, and four are new. Concentrations correspond to those of the binding
	four additional		sites (not of the dimer and tetramer complexes). The red line highlights the diagonal, where the
	affinities.		concentrations of binding sites of dimer and tetramers are equal. The grey dotted lines show
			the lower limit of concentrations that can be reliably estimated. Affinities and mutations are
			indicated above. The N34V, R38T, double
			mutants were added later to further investigate
			randomly selected cells were plotted in all panels
			(n=4000) to allow comparing the density of points across plots.
Extended Data	The mRNA coding	Extended_data_f	Cells were treated with a final concentration of 10

Fig. 7 for t	the dimer is	ig_7.jpg	mM puromy	cin and	mRNA followed	release	from the
cond	ensates		microscopy.	was	lonoweu	by	time-tapse
withi	n minutes						
after	the addition						
of pu	romycin.						

# **2. Supplementary Information:**

Item	Present?	Filename	A brief, numerical description of file
		This should be the name the file is saved as when it is uploaded to our system, and should include the file	<b>contents.</b> i.e.: Supplementary Figures 1-4, Supplementary Discussion, and Supplementary Tables 1-4.
		extension must be .pdf	
Supplementary	Yes	02_Heidenreich_e	Supplementary Tables 1-3, Supplementary
Information		t_al_SM_2020_03_	Figures 1-5, Supplementary Note
		29.pdf	
Reporting Summary	Yes	nr-reporting-	
		summary_V2.pdf	

# 

# A. Additional Supplementary Files

		Filename	
	Number	This should be the name the	
	If there are multiple files of	file is saved as when it is	
	the same type this should be	uploaded to our system, and	Legend or Descriptive
	the numerical indicator. i.e.	should include the file	Caption
	"1" for Video 1, "2" for Video	extension. i.e.: Smith_	Describe the contents of the
Туре	2, etc.	Supplementary_Video_1.mov	file
			Synthetic condensates
			expressed in yeast cells.
			New synthetic
			condensates appear in
			budding daughter cells
			and their size grows
			with time. The video is
			representative for at
		Supplementary_video_1.	least three independent
Supplementary Video	1	avi	experiments.
			FRAP on condensates.
			Condensates involving
		Supplementary_video_2.	high (left) and low
Supplementary Video	2	avi	(right) affinity binding

			domains show slower
			(left) and faster (right)
			recovery after
			photobleaching The
			photobleaching. The
			video is representative
			for at least 13
			independent
			experiments.
			Localization of the
			dimer's mRNA at
			condensates.
			mRNAs coding for the
			dimer building block
			localize at condensates
			in yeast cells. The
			video is representative
			for at least three
		Supplementary_video_3.	independent
Supplementary Video	3	avi	experiments.
			Localization of GB1
			mRNA.
			mRNAs coding for
			GB1 a protein that
			does not bind
			condensates do co
			Localiza with
			localize with
			condensates. The video
			is representative for at
		Supplementary_video_4.	least three independent
Supplementary Video	4	avi	experiments.
			C-terminal variant of
			the binding domain.
			mRNAs do not localize
			at condensates when
			the binding domain is
			encoded at the C-
			terminus of the dimer
			The video is
			representative for at
		Supplementary video 5	least three independent
Supplementary Video	E C	supplementary_video_5.	experiments
	5	avi	Duramusia
Supplementary Video	6	Supplementary_video_6.	Puromycin.

		avi	mRNAs detach from
			condensates in yeast
			cells treated with
			puromycin. The video
			is representative for at
			least three independent
			experiments.
			Puromycin + CHX.
			mRNAs remain
			localized at condensates
			in yeast cells treated
			with puromycin and
			cycloheximide. The
			video is representative
			for at least three
		Supplementary_video_7.	independent
Supplementary Video	7	avi	experiments.

## 10 3. Source Data

Parent Figure or	Filename	Data description
Table	This should be the name the file is	i.e.: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or gels, Statistical
	saved as when it is uploaded to our	Source Data, etc.
	system, and should include the file	
	extension. i.e.:	
	Smith_SourceData_Fig1.xls, or Smith_	
	Unmodified_Gels_Fig1.pdf	
Source Data Fig. 2g	Figure_2G_Source_Data.xlsx	Data for the phase diagrams
Source Data Fig. 3a	Figure_3A_Source_Data.xlsx	Data for the phase diagrams
Source Data	ExtData_Figure_5_Source_Data.	Data for the phase diagrams
Extended Data Fig.	xlsx	
5		
Source Data	ExtData_Figure_6_Source_Data.	Data for the phase diagrams
Extended Data Fig.	xlsx	
6		

# **Designer protein assemblies with tunable phase diagrams in living cells**

Meta Heidenreich<sup>1\*</sup>, Joseph M. Georgeson<sup>1\*</sup>, Emanuele Locatelli<sup>7</sup>, Lorenzo Rovigatti<sup>5,6,§</sup>, Saroj Kumar
Nandi<sup>2,4</sup>, Avital Steinberg<sup>1</sup>, Yotam Nadav<sup>1</sup>, Eyal Shimoni<sup>3</sup>, Samuel A. Safran<sup>2,§</sup>, Jonathan P. K. Doye<sup>5,§</sup>,
Emmanuel D. Levy<sup>1,§</sup>

- 20
- 21 1 Department of Structural Biology, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel.
- 22 2 Department of Chemical and Biological Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel.
- 23 3 Department of Chemical Research Support, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
- 24 4 TIFR Centre for Interdisciplinary Sciences, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Hyderabad, India
- 25 5 Physical & Theoretical Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- 26 6 Department of Physics, Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy
- 27 7 Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- 28 \* These authors contributed equally to this work
- $29 \hspace{1.5cm} \$ \hspace{1.5cm} \text{Authors for correspondence}$
- 30 Emails (ORCID):
- 31 lorenzo.rovigatti@gmail.com (0000-0001-5017-2829),
- 32 <u>sam.safran@weizmann.ac.il</u> (0000-0002-0798-1492),
- 33 jonathan.doye@chem.ox.ac.uk (0000-0002-2226-9524),
- 34 <u>emmanuel.Levy@weizmann.ac.il</u> (0000-0001-8959-7365) 35

#### 36 **Document statistics**

- 37 Main text: 3637 words
- 38 Methods: 1849 words
- 39 Figures: 4
- 40 Tables: 1
- 41 Extended Data Figures: 7
- 42 Supplementary Figures: 5
- 43 Supplementary Tables: 3
- 44 Supplementary Note: 1
- 45 Supplementary Excel (Data Source): 2
- 46 Supplementary Videos: 7
- 47

#### 48 Abstract

49 Proteins self-organization is a hallmark of biological systems. Physico-chemical principles governing protein-protein interactions have long been known. However, the principles by which such nanoscale 50 51 interactions generate diverse phenotypes of mesoscale assemblies, including phase-separated 52 compartments, remain challenging to characterize. To illuminate such principles, we create a system of 53 two proteins designed to interact and form mesh-like assemblies. We devise a novel strategy to map high-54 resolution phase diagrams in living cells, which provide self-assembly signatures of this system. The 55 structural modularity of the two protein components allows straightforward modification of their 56 molecular properties, enabling us to characterize how interaction affinity impacts the phase diagram and 57 material state of the assemblies *in vivo*. The phase diagrams and their dependence on interaction affinity 58 were captured by theory and simulations, including out-of-equilibrium effects seen in growing cells. 59 Finally, we find that cotranslational protein binding suffices to recruit an mRNA to the designed micron-

60 scale structures.

#### 61 Introduction

62 The self-organization and proper function of complex systems involve elaborate spatiotemporal 63 coordination of their constituent elements. Cells organize their contents into organelles, which have been

- 64 classically viewed as membrane-bound structures. However, in recent years, an increasing number of
- 65 studies describe fundamentally different types of organelles that form by phase separation and are not
- 66 membrane-bound<sup>1</sup>. These organelles, also called biomolecular condensates<sup>2</sup> are associated with diverse

functions<sup>1,3,4</sup>, ranging from pre-mRNA processing<sup>5</sup> and translation regulation<sup>6</sup> to signalling<sup>7</sup>, or to the
formation of eye lenses<sup>8</sup>. The increasingly frequent discovery of such organelles reflects that we are only
beginning to grasp the complexity underlying the proteome's spatial organization and begs for a
molecular understanding of the process of phase separation in living cells.

71

72 In phase separation, thousands of copies of identical molecules cluster and interact together, implying 73 that small changes in molecular properties of components, e.g., by mutation, can propagate and 74 dramatically impact macroscopic phenotypes of assembly<sup>9</sup>. For example, mutations increasing the 75 viscosity of FUS and Huntington exon 1 condensates have been associated with debilitating diseases such 76 as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), frontotemporal dementia (FTD)<sup>10,11</sup>, and Huntington<sup>12</sup>. However, 77 there is little understanding of how these mutations act at the molecular level to change the phase 78 behavior and viscosity of condensates. In order to bridge this gap, it is crucial to connect biophysical 79 properties of proteins to mesoscale phenotypes of their assembly inside of living cells.

80

81 Establishing such a nanoscale-mesoscale connection with natural condensates is hardly possible due to 82 their compositional and regulatory complexity. Creating synthetic condensates offers a powerful 83 alternative, as both the structure and biophysical properties of the components can be known by design. 84 Furthermore, if the proteins employed are orthogonal to the living system, no active cellular regulation is 85 expected to take place. Previous work based on synthetic proteins showed that increasing multivalence of the components promotes their phase separation<sup>13,14</sup>, and revealed how distinct client proteins can be 86 87 differentially recruited to condensates<sup>2</sup>. However, detailed molecular modeling of these systems is 88 difficult, since the interaction affinity between individual components was fixed<sup>13</sup> or unknown<sup>14</sup>, and the 89 contribution of intra-versus inter-molecular interactions was also unknown. Moreover, in such systems, 90 interaction affinities and the balance of inter- versus intramolecular interactions cannot be tuned 91 independently from one another. These limitations prompted us to design a synthetic system providing 92 control over these nanoscale properties.

93

94 Here we introduce this minimal system, which consists of two protein components. We show that this 95 system allows the direct visualization of its phase diagram in living cells. By mapping the phase diagram 96 of point mutants modulating the binding affinity between the two components, we demonstrate that 97 increasing affinity enhances phase separation *in vivo*, until the system becomes kinetically trapped at very 98 high affinities. Finally, we applied our system to interrogate biological mechanisms of self-assembly. We 99 found that one of the system's components binds co-translationally to the condensate, indicating that co-100 translational protein binding of a nascent chain can suffice to localize its mRNA.

#### 101 Results

#### 102 A synthetic two-protein system that phase separates

A quantitative and detailed molecular understanding of biophysical and biological mechanisms of mesoscale self-assembly requires a system where all parameters, namely the components, their structure, and their physical interactions, are known. To this aim, we developed a synthetic system in which these properties are controlled by design. The system comprises two protein components that interact with affinities tunable by point mutation. Each component is designed in a modular fashion and consists of three structured domains linked by short flexible linkers. As we know from previous work that multivalence is a critical property of molecules undergoing phase separation<sup>13,15</sup>, both components are 110 multivalent. The first component contains a homo-dimerization domain, a red fluorescent protein (RFP), 111 and the protein Im2. The second component contains a homo-tetramerization domain, a yellow 112 fluorescent protein (YFP), and the protein E9, which interacts specifically with Im2 (<u>Figure 1a</u>, Methods, 113 Supplementary Table 1). Importantly, unlike in other synthetic systems<sup>13,14</sup>, intramolecular interactions 114 are restricted by an incompatibility between the distances separating the termini to which interaction 115 domains are fused, equal to 18 nm on the dimer and only 4 nm on the tetramer (<u>Figure 1a, b</u>).

116

117 We co-expressed the dimer and tetramer components in yeast cells. Using fluorescence microscopy, we 118 observed the formation of sub-micron to micron-scale punctate assemblies where the tetramer and dimer 119 co-localized (Figure 1c, Video 1), suggesting that the system undergoes phase separation and forms condensates. The assembly of this system was dependent on the specific interaction between E9 and Im2, 120 121 as condensates were neither observed when co-expressing the tetramer with a dimer lacking the Im2 122 domain (Figure 1d) nor were they observed in haploid cells that expressed only one of the components 123 (Extended Data Figure 1). The assemblies were not membrane-bound, as visualized by electron 124 microscopy (Extended Data Figure 2).

### 125 Revealing phase diagrams in vivo at high-resolution

The physical origin of phase separation of molecules in solution is the attraction between them, which, in the appropriate range of concentration and interaction-strength, dominates the entropy of mixing. In our system, dimers mediate indirect tetramer-tetramer attraction. At equilibrium, this attraction gives rise to two coexisting phases with equal chemical potential and osmotic pressure: a dense phase where tetramers and dimers show high concentrations, high enthalpy, and low entropy, and a dilute phase with lower concentrations of dimers and tetramers, lower enthalpy, and higher entropy.

132

133 In cells, the dense phase corresponds to the condensate and the dilute phase consists of freely diffusing components in the cytoplasm (Figure 2a). The conditions under which phase separation occurs at 134 135 equilibrium are described by its phase diagram, with the binodal defining phase boundaries. We developed a lattice model (Figure 2b, Methods) to predict the phase diagram of our system as a function 136 137 of dimer and tetramer concentrations (Figure 2c). Concentrations outside of the binodal do not drive 138 phase separation, either because they are too low relative to the interaction affinity (Figure 2d), or 139 because an imbalance in the components' stoichiometry inhibits the propagation of their interactions in 140 multicomponent systems<sup>13,15–20</sup> (Figure 2e).

141

142 Interestingly, cells without condensates have not undergone phase separation and should fall outside of 143 the binodal. Thus, the region of concentrations that is absent in these cells should reveal the phase 144 boundary of this system (Figure 2f). Such an approach offers the unique opportunity to map a high-145 resolution phase diagram *in vivo*, because the phase-space can be defined along two continuous 146 coordinates corresponding to the concentrations of each component. Unlike temperature or pressure, 147 protein concentration can be tuned over several orders of magnitude and can be measured readily from 148 fluorescence intensity across thousands of single cells.

149

To characterize such a phase diagram, we created yeast strains co-expressing the dimer and tetramer components independently, such that each cell sampled a different point of the phase space. We imaged thousands of single cells and estimated the components' concentrations from fluorescence intensity (Supplementary Figure 1), excluding cells containing a condensate to ascertain reliable concentration measurements (Figure 2f, Methods). As predicted, the density distribution of cells revealed the phase boundary of the system (Figure 2g).

156

#### 157 Modeling the phase diagram measured in vivo

158 The phase boundary appears as an area where cell density approaches zero. The scarcity of cells sampling 159 concentrations beyond 10  $\mu$ M prevented visualizing closed boundaries, giving rise to a half-ellipsoid. We 160 modeled the expected boundaries using a minimal lattice model, where tetramers occupy the vertices, 161 dimers occupy the bonds, and solvent molecules can occupy either the vertices or bonds. (Figure 2b,c and 162 Methods).

163

164 Furthermore, we generated phase diagrams using thermodynamic perturbation theory developed for patchy particles matching the geometry of our proteins (Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Note). 165 166 Both methodological approaches recapitulated our observations: the half-ellipsoid aligns along the diagonal where the stoichiometry of both components' binding sites is equal (Figure 2g, Extended Data 167 168 Figure 3). Indeed, a balanced stoichiometry gives rise to a lower energy assembly, where enthalpy is 169 maximal with all binding sites satisfied, thus favoring phase separation. As stoichiometries become 170 unbalanced (e.g., 1:10 or 10:1), the component present in excess saturates all binding sites of its partner, 171 which inhibits propagation of interactions and phase separation (Figure 2e).

172

#### 173 Tuning phase diagram and viscosity by affinity

The nature of the interaction domains used in this system allows both lowering and increasing the affinity
by single point mutations<sup>21</sup> described in <u>Table 1</u>. We initially investigated four new variants for the dimer,
which contained point mutations modulating the dissociation constant between Im2 and E9 domains
across five orders of magnitude, from 10<sup>-11</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup> M.

178

179 We imaged yeast cells co-expressing the tetramer with the new dimer variants, and generated their in vivo phase diagrams (Figure 3 and Extended Data Figure 5). Mutants interacting with an affinity lower 180 181 than that of the wild-type domains showed a shift in their phase diagram. The half-ellipsoid underwent a 182 translation along the diagonal, towards higher concentrations. Such a translation was expected, as lower interaction affinities require higher concentrations for binding. The same effect is reproduced with the 183 184 two theoretical approaches we put forward (Extended Data Figure 3). Interestingly, the mutant with an 185 affinity of 4.8 x  $10^{-11}$  M (higher affinity than the wild type) revealed a complex behavior: the minimal 186 concentration of tetramer required for phase separation increased, as reflected in the upward shift of the 187 phase boundary (yellow region, Figure 3a).

188

189 This upward shift led us to examine the diffusion dynamics of components within condensates. Fast 190 diffusion requires components to be unbound, and their probability to exist in the unbound state is 191 inversely proportional to their interaction affinity (Supplementary Note). Thus, we expect high-affinity interactions to yield condensates with slow diffusion dynamics, whereas lower affinities should yield 192 193 faster diffusion dynamics. To test this hypothesis, we measured fluorescence recovery after 194 photobleaching (FRAP) of the condensates. Considering low, medium and high-affinity interactions (2.1 x 195  $10^{-6}$  M, 2.8 x  $10^{-7}$  M, and 4.8 x  $10^{-11}$  M), the mean fluorescence recovery after 25 seconds reached  $65\pm4\%$ , 196 56±4% and 15±2%, respectively (Figure 3b and c, Extended Data Figure 5, Video 2). Individual traces

197 show pronounced variability in the recovery profiles, especially at low affinities, which might reflect 198 differences in condensate density as well as differences in the fraction of bonded components 199 (Supplementary Figure 3). On average, however, higher interaction affinity led to slower diffusion of components, consistent with the effective viscosity of the condensates being controlled by interaction 200 201 affinity. Importantly, the slower recovery of the D33L Im2 mutant implies that it does interact with a higher affinity than wild-type Im2, which is in conflict with the observed shrinkage in phase boundaries 202 203 (yellow region, Figure 3a). This apparent contradiction might originate in kinetics. At high affinity, the 204 kinetics of unbinding events is very slow, which can trap the system in states where both components 205 have a non-optimal distribution of bonds in the network. Nonetheless, dimers need to be completely 206 bonded to mediate cluster growth, whereas tetramers require only two out of four bonds to mediate such growth. Consequently, misplaced bonds in a tetramer-poor system would hinder the formation of a 207 208 network more than they would in a tetramer-rich system. This idea led us to compare the regions where 209 phase separation occurs in equilibrium versus out-of-equilibrium molecular dynamics simulations of 210 patchy particles (Extended Data Figure 4a). These simulations confirmed the picture sketched above by revealing a shift in the lower branch of the phase diagram, while the upper branch remained essentially 211 212 unmoved (Extended Data Figure 4b, Supplementary Figure 4, Supplementary Figure 5, Supplementary 213 Note).

214

215 To further corroborate that kinetic trapping inhibits phase separation we created a yeast strain where 216 components interact with an even higher affinity ( $3.4 \times 10^{-13}$  M, Table 1). This mutant showed a more pronounced upward shift of the lower branch, further supporting that the system gets kinetically trapped 217 218 at very high affinities (Extended Data Figure 6). Moreover, to narrow the affinity range at which kinetic 219 trapping becomes visible, we created three additional variations of the system where the dimer and 220 tetramer interact with intermediate affinities (3.3 x 10<sup>-9</sup> M, 2.6 x 10<sup>-9</sup> M, 1.9 x 10<sup>-10</sup> M, Table 1). We 221 measured *in vivo* phase diagrams for these new variants, and observed that the upward shift appears at 222 an affinity of 1.9 x 10<sup>-10</sup> M, and only becomes pronounced at 4.8 x 10<sup>-11</sup> M (Extended Data Figure 6).

223

#### 224 Cotranslational binding suffices to localize mRNA

The spatial organization of translation is achieved by mRNA trafficking and localization<sup>22</sup>. Interestingly, mRNA localization could be achieved by the proteins being synthesized, if they can bind localized partners cotranslationally. This mechanism had, in fact, been suggested to mediate the localization of mRNAs encoding myosin heavy chain in developing cultured skeletal muscles<sup>23</sup>. However, considering a biological system, it is hard to address whether cotranslational binding of a nascent polypeptide chain can suffice to localize its encoding mRNA, because other mechanisms could be involved.

231

Additionally, cotranslational binding can be hindered by numerous factors. Indeed, polysomes diffuse slower than globular proteins due to their large size, so a nascent chain may not reach a particular localization within the time of translation. In parallel, the interacting region of the nascent chain must be exposed at the surface of the ribosome for a sufficiently long time to mediate binding with the target. As a result, and as observed for cotranslational assembly of protein complexes<sup>24–26</sup>, the N- versus C- terminal positioning of the interaction region may play an important role. These limiting factors beg the question: can cotranslational binding suffice to determine the localization of a polysome?

239

Uniquely, our synthetic system makes it possible to address this question directly because we know thatits components have neither evolved to bind their own mRNA, nor RNAs in general. We fused the mRNA

encoding the dimer component to a sequence enabling its tracking in live cells<sup>27</sup>. In these experiments, we

243 used a tetramer component fused to a blue fluorescent reporter, so that green fluorescence was solely 244 reporting on mRNA localization. Live cell imaging revealed that mRNAs diffused throughout the cell and 245 attached to the condensate when they encountered it. Surprisingly, multiple mRNAs could co-localize and 246 appeared to nucleate the formation of the condensate (Figure 4a and d, Video 3). In contrast, an mRNA 247 coding for a protein that does not bind to the condensate did not co-localize with it (Figure 4b,d, Video 4). 248 As an additional control, we changed the position of the binding domain of the dimer from N- to C-249 terminus. In this new construct, the binding domain is released from the ribosome right after its 250 synthesis. Therefore, this construct is not expected to mediate cotranslational assembly<sup>24,25</sup> and its mRNA 251 should not localize to the condensate. In agreement with this prediction, we did not observe recruitment 252 of the dimer's mRNA to the condensate when the binding domain was encoded in its C-terminus (Figure 253 4c and d, Video 5). This result also implies that dimerization is not occurring co-translationally, possibly 254 because the dimer interface involves the C-terminus that is not exposed at the surface of the ribosome for 255 a sufficiently long time.

256 To provide a quantitative description of these live-cell imaging observations, we measured the 257 distribution of distances between the center of foci corresponding to mRNAs (green) and condensates 258 (red, see methods). As expected, the mRNAs of dimers harboring an N- terminal binding region co-259 localized with condensates (mean distance of  $0.48 \pm 0.19 \mu$ m), whereas the mRNAs of dimers harboring a 260 C-terminal binding domain showed a mean distance of  $1.85 \pm 1.49 \mu m$  and encompassed values as large 261 as the diameter of a yeast cell. This latter distance distribution is not significantly different from that of a 262 negative control, i.e., an mRNA encoding a protein that does not bind to the condensate (mean distance of 263 1.83 +-1.29 µm).

264

To ascertain that recruitment of the mRNA to the condensate is translation dependent, we employed puromycin, a drug that dissociates translating ribosomes from mRNA. Treatment of cells with puromycin released the dimers' mRNA from the condensate within minutes (Figure 4e, Video 6, Extended Data Figure 7). Interestingly, cycloheximide prevents puromycin mediated dissociation of ribosomes from their mRNA<sup>28</sup>, providing another means to test the translation dependence of mRNA localization to the condensate. When treated simultaneously with puromycin and cycloheximide, mRNAs maintained their co-localization with condensates (Figure 4f, Video 7).

272

To gain a quantitative view of these experiments, we followed cells exhibiting co-localization between mRNA and condensate before treatment, and recorded how many of these cells exhibited complete detachment of the mRNA after treatment with puromycin alone, or puromycin together with cycloheximide (Extended Data Figure 7). While puromycin treatment led to complete detachment of mRNA(s) in 88% of cases, the addition of cycloheximide cancelled this effect as complete detachment occurred in only 6% of cases (Figure 4g). Together, these results point to cotranslational binding of a nascent chain as a mechanism that can drive the localization of its encoding mRNA.

280

## 281 **Discussion and conclusions**

We designed and characterized a synthetic minimal system to study *in vivo* phase separation from first principles. Notably, the folded nature of interaction domains of our system, together with the defined geometry of oligomerization domains provide unprecedented control over the biophysical and structural properties of the components. At the same time, we introduce a novel strategy using single cells as individual "test-tubes" to map high-resolution phase diagrams *in vivo*. Combined, these properties create a powerful experimental system to relate nanoscale to mesoscale phenotypes of self-assembly from first
principles. We explore this relationship by characterizing how mutations changing the interaction affinity
between the two components impact the phase behavior and material state of the condensates they form.
Interestingly, numerous additional parameters such as linker properties, electrostatics, or valence could
be tuned independently from one another, and their impact on phase separation characterized and
modeled in the same way.

293

294 The ability to dissect how individual parameters impact phase separation is essential for understanding 295 biological condensates, because they involve several layers of complexity. At a biophysical level, intricate 296 dependencies can exist between three parameters: affinity, multivalence, and concentration. For instance, 297 an increased valence will lead to an increased apparent affinity, which in turn lowers the minimal concentration for phase separation<sup>13,29</sup>. At the same time, the apparent valence of a molecule with 298 299 multiple self-interacting regions can change with concentration, because inter- and intra-molecular 300 binding events compete<sup>30–32</sup>. Furthermore, at a biological level, the identity of the components, the way in 301 which they interact, and how they are regulated, is often unknown.

302

303 Our system helps address these layers of complexity: biophysically, the impact of intermolecular 304 interaction affinity we observed is also expected in biological systems. For example, increased salt 305 concentration inhibits phase-separation and decreases the viscosity of LAF-1 condensates. These results 306 are consistent with our observations, whereby salt would decrease the effective affinity of LAF-1 for itself. 307 Conversely, mutations in the low complexity domain of TIA1 were shown to enhance its phase separation 308 and decrease its mobility in condensates<sup>33</sup>. In line with our results, these observations indicate a 309 strengthening of intermolecular interactions in TIA1 condensates. At a biological level, the oval-shaped phase boundaries imply that increasing the expression of components *in vivo* can inform on whether a 310 311 single or multiple components are required for phase separation. Indeed, in a multi-component system, 312 increasing the concentration of one component relative to the other dissolves the dense phase at 313 equilibrium. However, if a single component is sufficient, increasing its concentration will result in a larger dense phase. Theoretically, this prediction applies to condensates involving any type of molecule 314 315 (e.g., folded proteins, disordered regions, RNAs, or a combination of these). For example, NPM1 and poly(PR) peptides interact and phase separate together. Similar to our system, very high concentrations 316 317 of poly(PR) lead to the droplet dissolution *in vitro*<sup>34</sup>. Such behavior has also been described for a system involving RNA interacting with PR-rich peptides<sup>35</sup>. 318

319

320 Finally, our synthetic system can serve to identify novel synergisms between protein self-assembly and 321 cellular processes. Recent works have revealed cotranslational assembly of complexes as a widespread 322 mechanism<sup>24,36</sup> actively shaped by evolution<sup>25,37</sup>. Our results now suggest that cotranslational binding of a nascent chain can be sufficient to localize mRNAs in cells. Interestingly, several mechanisms for mediating 323 324 interactions between RNA and proteins in condensates are known<sup>38,39</sup>, and the results presented here suggest cotranslational assembly as a new such mechanism. The design of mesoscale synthetic protein 325 326 assemblies is becoming increasingly powerful to create new materials<sup>40-42</sup> and functions<sup>43,44</sup>. Moreover, as 327 we are only beginning to grasp the complexity of proteome self-organization, new approaches are needed 328 for characterizing and understanding mesoscale properties of protein self-assembly in cells<sup>19,20,32,45-50</sup>. In 329 this context, our synthetic system constitutes a powerful tool to interrogate biological mechanisms of 330 protein assembly. In the future, it may serve to evaluate and calibrate physical models of self-assembly in 331 *vivo*, and form a basis for developing new biomaterials and scaffolds in living cells.

### 332 Acknowledgments

333 We thank J. Gerst and R.R. Nair (Weizmann Institute, IL) for sharing plasmids of the MS2 system, S. 334 Schwartz (Weizmann Institute, IL) for the bRA89 plasmid, F. Sciortino and H. Hofmann for helpful discussions and suggestions, and H. Greenblatt for help with computer systems. E.D.L. acknowledges 335 support by the Israel Science Foundation (1452/18), by the European Research Council (ERC) under the 336 337 European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 819318), by the HFSP Career Development Award (award no. CDA00077/2015), by a research grant from A.-M. 338 339 Boucher, by research grants from the Estelle Funk Foundation, the Estate of Fannie Sherr, the Estate of 340 Albert Delighter, the Merle S. Cahn Foundation, Mrs. Mildred S. Gosden, the Estate of Elizabeth Wachsman, 341 the Arnold Bortman Family Foundation. E.D.L. is incumbent of the Recanati Career Development Chair of 342 Cancer Research. L.R. acknowledges support from the European Commission (Marie Skłodowska-Curie 343 Fellowship No. 702298-DELTAS). S.A.S. thanks the BSF and the ISF program and acknowledges the historical generosity of the Perlmann family foundation. S.K.N. acknowledges support from the Koshland 344 foundation. 345

### 346 Authors contributions

M.H., J.M.G, and E.D.L. designed the research and synthetic protein system -- M.H., J.M.G. performed the
experiments with help from Y.N. -- E.L., L.R. and J.K.P.D developed the theoretical framework for modeling
the system based on patchy particles -- S.N. and S.S. developed the theoretical framework for modeling
the system based on a lattice model -- A.S. wrote the image analysis scripts; E.S. carried out electron
microscopy experiments -- M.H. and E.D.L. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

352

#### 353 **Competing Interests**

- 354
- 355 The authors declare no competing interests
- 356

#### 357 **References**

Hyman, A. A., Weber, C. A. & Julicher, F. Liquid-liquid phase separation in biology. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **30**, 39–58 (2014).

Banani, S. F. *et al.* Compositional Control of Phase-Separated Cellular Bodies. *Cell* 166, 651–663
(2016).

- 362 3. Holehouse, A. S. & Pappu, R. V. Functional Implications of Intracellular Phase Transitions.
- 363 *Biochemistry* **57**, 2415–2423 (2018).
- 364 4. Banani, S. F., Lee, H. O., Hyman, A. A. & Rosen, M. K. Biomolecular condensates: organizers of
  365 cellular biochemistry. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 18, 285–298 (2017).
- 5. Tatomer, D. C. *et al.* Concentrating pre-mRNA processing factors in the histone locus body facilitates efficient histone mRNA biogenesis. *J. Cell Biol.* **213**, 557–570 (2016).
- 368 6. Buchan, J. R. & Parker, R. Eukaryotic stress granules: the ins and outs of translation. *Mol. Cell* **36**, 369 932–941 (2009).
- Su, X. *et al.* Phase separation of signaling molecules promotes T cell receptor signal transduction.
   *Science* 352, 595–599 (2016).
- 372 8. Cai, J., Townsend, J. P., Dodson, T. C., Heiney, P. A. & Sweeney, A. M. Eye patches: Protein assembly
  373 of index-gradient squid lenses. *Science* 357, 564–569 (2017).
- 9. Garcia-Seisdedos, H., Empereur-Mot, C., Elad, N. & Levy, E. D. Proteins evolve on the edge of

- 375 supramolecular self-assembly. *Nature* **548**. 244–247 (2017). Murakami, T. et al. ALS/FTD Mutation-Induced Phase Transition of FUS Liquid Droplets and 376 10. 377 Reversible Hydrogels into Irreversible Hydrogels Impairs RNP Granule Function. Neuron 88, 678–690 378 (2015). 379 Patel, A. et al. A Liquid-to-Solid Phase Transition of the ALS Protein FUS Accelerated by Disease 11. 380 Mutation. Cell 162, 1066-1077 (2015). 381 12. Peskett, T. R. et al. A Liquid to Solid Phase Transition Underlying Pathological Huntingtin Exon1 382 Aggregation. *Mol. Cell* **70**, 588–601.e6 (2018). 383 Li, P. et al. Phase transitions in the assembly of multivalent signalling proteins. Nature 483, 336-13. 384 340 (2012). 385 14. Bracha, D. et al. Mapping Local and Global Liquid Phase Behavior in Living Cells Using Photo-386 Oligomerizable Seeds. Cell 176, 407 (2019). 387 Flory, P. J. Principles of Polymer Chemistry. (Cornell University Press, 1953). 15. 388 16. Smallenburg, F., Leibler, L. & Sciortino, F. Patchy particle model for vitrimers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 389 188002 (2013). 390 Bianchi, E., Largo, J., Tartaglia, P., Zaccarelli, E. & Sciortino, F. Phase diagram of patchy colloids: 17. 391 Towards empty liquids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 168301 (2006). 392 Falkenberg, C. V., Blinov, M. L. & Loew, L. M. Pleomorphic ensembles: formation of large clusters 18. 393 composed of weakly interacting multivalent molecules. *Biophys. J.* **105**, 2451–2460 (2013). 394 Jacobs, W. M. & Frenkel, D. Phase Transitions in Biological Systems with Many Components. 19. 395 Biophys. J. 112, 683–691 (2017). 396 Sanders, D. W. et al. Competing Protein-RNA Interaction Networks Control Multiphase 20. 397 Intracellular Organization. Cell 181, 306-324.e28 (2020). 398 21. Li, W. et al. Dual recognition and the role of specificity-determining residues in colicin E9 DNase-399 immunity protein interactions. *Biochemistry* **37**, 11771–11779 (1998). 400 22. Buxbaum, A. R., Haimovich, G. & Singer, R. H. In the right place at the right time: visualizing and 401 understanding mRNA localization. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 16, 95–109 (2015). 402 23. Isaacs, W. B. & Fulton, A. B. Cotranslational assembly of myosin heavy chain in developing cultured skeletal muscle. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 84, 6174–6178 (1987). 403 404 Shiber, A. *et al.* Cotranslational assembly of protein complexes in eukarvotes revealed by 24. 405 ribosome profiling. *Nature* 561, 268–272 (2018). 406 25. Natan, E. et al. Cotranslational protein assembly imposes evolutionary constraints on homomeric 407 proteins. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 25, 279–288 (2018). 408 26. Kramer, G., Shiber, A. & Bukau, B. Mechanisms of Cotranslational Maturation of Newly Synthesized 409 Proteins. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 88, 337-364 (2019). Haim-Vilmovsky, L. & Gerst, J. E. m-TAG: a PCR-based genomic integration method to visualize the 410 27. 411 localization of specific endogenous mRNAs in vivo in yeast. Nat. Protoc. 4, 1274–1284 (2009). 412 Lui, J. et al. Granules Harboring Translationally Active mRNAs Provide a Platform for P-Body 28. 413 Formation following Stress. Cell Reports vol. 9 944–954 (2014). 414 29. Shin, Y. et al. Spatiotemporal Control of Intracellular Phase Transitions Using Light-Activated 415 optoDroplets. Cell vol. 168 159-171.e14 (2017). 416 30. Dignon, G. L., Zheng, W., Best, R. B., Kim, Y. C. & Mittal, J. Relation between single-molecule properties and phase behavior of intrinsically disordered proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, 9929-417 418 9934 (2018). 419 31. Dignon, G. L., Zheng, W. & Mittal, J. Simulation methods for liquid–liquid phase separation of 420 disordered proteins. Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng. 23, 92–98 (2019). 421 Yang, P. et al. G3BP1 Is a Tunable Switch that Triggers Phase Separation to Assemble Stress 32. 422 Granules. Cell 181, 325-345.e28 (2020). 423 33. Mackenzie, I. R. et al. TIA1 Mutations in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Frontotemporal 424 Dementia Promote Phase Separation and Alter Stress Granule Dynamics. *Neuron* **95**, 808–816.e9 (2017). 425 34. White, M. R. et al. C9orf72 Poly(PR) Dipeptide Repeats Disturb Biomolecular Phase Separation and Disrupt Nucleolar Function. Mol. Cell 74, 713-728.e6 (2019). 426
  - 427 Banerjee, P. R., Milin, A. N., Moosa, M. M., Onuchic, P. L. & Deniz, A. A. Reentrant Phase Transition 35.

- 428 Drives Dynamic Substructure Formation in Ribonucleoprotein Droplets. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed Engl.* **56**, 11354–11359 (2017).
- 430 36. Duncan, C. D. S. & Mata, J. Widespread cotranslational formation of protein complexes. *PLoS Genet.* 431 **7**, e1002398 (2011).
- 432 37. Shieh, Y.-W. *et al.* Operon structure and cotranslational subunit association direct protein 433 assembly in bacteria. *Science* **350**, 678–680 (2015).
- 434 38. Langdon, E. M. & Gladfelter, A. S. A New Lens for RNA Localization: Liquid-Liquid Phase
- 435 Separation. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **72**, 255–271 (2018).
- 436 39. Boeynaems, S. *et al.* Protein Phase Separation: A New Phase in Cell Biology. *Trends Cell Biol.* 28,
  437 420-435 (2018).
- 438 40. Garcia-Seisdedos, H., Villegas, J. A. & Levy, E. D. Infinite Assembly of Folded Proteins in Evolution,
  439 Disease, and Engineering. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed Engl.* 58, 5514–5531 (2019).
- 440 41. Shen, H. *et al.* De novo design of self-assembling helical protein filaments. *Science* **362**, 705–709 441 (2018).
- 442 42. Abe, S. *et al.* Crystal Engineering of Self-Assembled Porous Protein Materials in Living Cells. *ACS*443 *Nano* 11, 2410–2419 (2017).
- 444 43. Reinkemeier, C. D., Girona, G. E. & Lemke, E. A. Designer membraneless organelles enable codon 445 reassignment of selected mRNAs in eukaryotes. *Science* **363**, (2019).
- 446 44. Lee, M. J. *et al.* Engineered synthetic scaffolds for organizing proteins within the bacterial 447 cytoplasm. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **14**, 142–147 (2018).
- 448 45. Delarue, M. *et al.* mTORC1 Controls Phase Separation and the Biophysical Properties of the 449 Cytoplasm by Tuning Crowding. *Cell* **174**, 338–349.e20 (2018).
- 450 46. Chavent, M. *et al.* How nanoscale protein interactions determine the mesoscale dynamic 451 organisation of bacterial outer membrane proteins. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2846 (2018).
- 452 47. Alberti, S., Gladfelter, A. & Mittag, T. Considerations and Challenges in Studying Liquid-Liquid 453 Phase Separation and Biomolecular Condensates. *Cell* **176**, 419–434 (2019).
- 454 48. Wang, J. *et al.* A Molecular Grammar Governing the Driving Forces for Phase Separation of Prion-455 like RNA Binding Proteins. *Cell* **174**, 688–699.e16 (2018).
- 456 49. Choi, J.-M., Dar, F. & Pappu, R. V. LASSI: A lattice model for simulating phase transitions of 457 multivalent proteins. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **15**, e1007028 (2019).
- 458 50. Panasenko, O. O. *et al.* Co-translational assembly of proteasome subunits in NOT1-containing
  459 assemblysomes. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 26, 110–120 (2019).
- 460 461

## 462 Figure Legends

463

464 Figure 1. A synthetic system for controlled phase separation in living cells. a. The components, each encoded 465 in one ORF, consist of three domains connected by flexible linkers: An interaction domain, an oligomerization 466 domain, and a fluorescent protein. The Colicin (E9, cyan) and Immunity (Im2, orange) proteins serve as interaction 467 modules, where affinity is controllable by mutation. A dimer and tetramer of known structure (Supplementary Table 468 1) served as divalent and tetravalent scaffolds. We fused Im2 and a red fluorescent protein (RFP) to the dimer, and 469 E9 and a yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) to the tetrameric scaffold. **b**. Illustrative structure of a dimer interacting 470 with two tetramers, and cartoon representation underneath. c. The system undergoes self-assembly and forms 471 punctate structures in living yeast cells. Scalebar: 10 µm d. In the absence of the Im2 interaction module, no 472 punctate structure is formed. These results were independently replicated three times.

473

Figure 2. Characterizing phase diagrams in living cells. a. The phase diagram describes when the system phase separates in a given parameter space, here defined by the dimer and tetramer concentrations. Concentrations within the binodal (yellow dotted line) are not stable, as for the crossed-out cell, leading to phase separation into a dilute and a dense phase (condensate). b. A lattice model captures the essence of phase separation, whereby the chemical potential of the dimer and tetramer exhibit two minima, the first with high entropy and low enthalpy (dilute phase), and the second with low entropy and high enthalpy from the bonding energy (dense phase). c. Based on this lattice model we derive a phase diagram showing the binodal, two critical points and ties lines. d. Cells without condensate

481 may have concentrations of both components that are too low. e. Alternatively, cells without condensate may exhibit 482 an imbalanced stoichiometry, where binding sites of the component of lower concentration are saturated with the 483 component in excess. **f**. Cells are imaged, segmented, and cells with condensates are excluded. The concentrations of 484 dimer (RFP, red), and tetramer (YFP, green) binding sites are recorded and plotted against each other. Both 485 components are co-expressed stochastically, so each cell samples one point of the phase diagram. Scale bar: 10 µm g. 486 *In vivo* phase diagram of our synthetic system containing wild-type Im2 and E9 interacting with a reported affinity 487 of 15 nM (Table 1). Each point represents a single cell (n=6818) and shows binding site concentrations of the dimer 488 (x-axis), and tetramer (y-axis). The red line highlights the diagonal. Grey dotted lines delimit background 489 fluorescence levels below which concentrations cannot be estimated reliably (~3.5 nM). The yellow points show an 490 overlay of the binodal computed based on the lattice model (Methods). The striped pattern visible at low 491 concentrations along both axes is caused by the use of median intensity values, which results in discrete numbers.

492

493 Figure 3. Influence of affinity on phase separation in vivo. a. Phase diagrams of the tetramer with the dimer 494 carrying three different affinities, as indicated. The red line highlights the diagonal. The grey dotted lines indicate 495 the fluorescence accuracy limit ( $\sim$ 3.5 nM), below which autofluorescence increases. The yellow band highlights a 496 region where phase separation occurs with wild type Im2, but does not with the high-affinity mutant. **b**. FRAP 497 experiments were carried out for three pairs of components varying in their interaction affinity. Increasing the 498 interaction affinity increased the effective viscosity of the condensate. Grey lines show individual repeats, the red 499 line indicates the mean, red area shows the standard error. Sample sizes are indicated in each plot. c. Example of two 500 condensates recovering after photobleaching. Low-affinity interaction (left) shows faster recovery when compared 501 to condensates involving higher affinities (right). Scale bar: 5 µm.

502

503 Figure 4. Cotranslational binding of a nascent chain directs mRNA localization. a. The mRNA of the dimeric 504 component was tagged with the MS2 sequence, and appears in live cells as green fluorescent puncta<sup>27</sup>. The 505 tetrameric component did not contain YFP, so the condensates are shown with red fluorescence only. The mRNA 506 molecules encoding for the dimer co-localize with the condensate. Scale bar: 5 µm. b. mRNAs of a control protein 507 (GB1) do not colocalize with condensates. c. When the binding domain Im2 is encoded at the C-terminus of the 508 dimer, the mRNA does not co-localize with the condensate. **d.** Quantification of experiments depicted in panels a-c. 509 Cells were automatically segmented from brightfield microscopy images. When foci were detected in both (red and 510 green) channels, their distance was calculated from the coordinates of the brightest detected foci in the maximum z-511 projection of seven stacks. Boxes delineate the first and third quartiles, the black line corresponds to the median, 512 upper and lower whiskers extend to largest and smallest values and at most 1.5 times the interquartile range. P-513 values are indicated above (one-sided t-test). e. Puromycin treatment dissociates ribosomes from mRNA and 514 releases the dimers' mRNA from the condensate. **f.** Puromycin-induced dissociation of mRNA does not occur when 515 cycloheximide, a drug that inhibits puromycin-dependent run-off of polysomes, is co-administered with puromycin. 516 g. Quantification of experiments depicted in panels d and e. Cells exhibiting co-localization of mRNA and condensate 517 were followed after treatment with either puromycin alone, or co-administered with cycloheximide for 25 minutes. 518 The fraction of cells exhibiting complete detachment of the mRNA punctae from the condensates is shown. Error 519 bars represent one standard deviation of the mean.

520 521

#### 522 Tables

523

Table 1. Im2 variants previously reported and used to modulate the interaction affinity between the dimer
 and tetramer. Previously reported<sup>21</sup> mean and standard errors of the affinities are given (n=2). Mutants marked
 with a triangle<sup>▲</sup>were added later in this work. For those, we derived phase-diagrams only.

Im2 mutation	K <sub>d</sub> with E9 (M)
D33L N34V R38T 🔺	$3.4 \pm 1.4 \ge 10^{-13}$
D33L	$4.8 \pm 0.3 \ge 10^{-11}$
N34V R38T ▲	$1.9 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-10}$

R38T ▲	$2.6 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{x}10^{-9}$
N34V ▲	3.3 ± 0.7 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>
WT	1.5 ± 0.1 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>
E30A	2.8 ± 1.6 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>
P56A	$2.1 \pm 0.7 \ge 10^{-6}$
V37A	9.3 ± 4.4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>

#### 528 Methods

#### 529 Design

530 The synthetic system introduced in this work relies on homo-oligomerization to create multivalent 531 components. We chose specific homo-oligomerization domains so as to avoid intra-molecular interactions 532 between components. Specifically, we selected a large dimer and a small tetramerization domain such 533 that the dimers could bridge across two tetramers, but could not bind two sites on the same tetramer. The 534 dimer consists of an antiparallel coiled-coil, where both N- termini are 18 nm apart. The tetramer is 535 comparatively small and corresponds to the tetramerization domain of p53 (details of protein structures 536 and references appear in Supplementary Table 1).

537

538 To avoid non-specific interactions of the dimer protein we mutated highly exposed and hydrophobic surface residues to charged ones (Y22D, I92D). For the tetrameric component, we used the wild-type 539 540 sequence of the tetramerization domain of human p53, from amino acid 326 to 356. The yellow 541 fluorescent reporter was fused to the tetramer, and the red fluorescent protein to the dimer (details of 542 fluorescent proteins and references appear in Supplementary Table 1). Both fluorescent proteins used are 543 monomeric to prohibit unspecific interactions between the components. The interaction domains were 544 derived from the bacterial toxin-antitoxin system E9/Im2. Different affinities were achieved by 545 introducing point mutations in the sequence of Im2 (Table 1). An H103A mutant of E9 was used to inhibit 546 its toxic DNAse activity. Upon initial expression in yeast cells, the dimer component showed a tendency 547 for nuclear localization. We thus fused a nuclear export signal (NES) LAEKLAGLDIN at its N-terminus, 548 which led to its cytosolic localization.

#### 549 Plasmids and Strains

550 551

550 The plasmids and strains resulting from this work are described in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3.

To achieve a stochastic expression of each component in yeast cells, each ORF was inserted into a separate low copy centromeric plasmid. The tRNA adaptation index of sequences for all components was optimized for *S. cerevisiae*. Designed sequences were inserted into American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) yeast cassettes<sup>51</sup> using the Polymerase Incomplete Primer Extension (PIPE) cloning method<sup>52</sup>. For stoichiometric expression in Video 1, sequences were inserted into M3925 plasmids<sup>53</sup> for genomic integration. Both components were cloned downstream of the yeast *TDH3* promoter. The selection markers for the dimer and tetramer were hygromycin and G418, respectively. Cloning was performed in

559 *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  cells. Plasmids were subsequently isolated, verified by sequencing, and transformed into 560 BY4741 (tetramer) or BY4742 (dimer) strains of S288C<sup>54</sup>. Expression in haploid cells was verified by 561 microscopy and yeast were subsequently mated, creating diploid cells containing both plasmids. For investigating the localization of mRNA, a modified version of the mTAG method<sup>27</sup> was used. Instead of 562 inserting the MS2 loops to the 3'UTR by using the Cre-Lox system, we used CRISPR/Cas9. We used the 563 plasmid bRA89<sup>55</sup>, which carries both, the ORF for Cas9, and the guide RNA. The guide RNA was designed 564 using CRISPR-ERA<sup>56</sup>, to target the *TRP3* locus (GTGGACAATCTCACCAGCGT) and the dimer with the wild 565 type Im2, including the MS2 loops in its 3' untranslated region (UTR), was inserted. For the insertion 566 cassette, three pieces were amplified: one from the promoter to the stop codon, one from the stop codon 567 to the end of UTR containing 12 MS2 loop repeats, and one from the end of the 3' UTR to the end of the 568 terminator. The primers for this amplification contained 40 bp homology regions to the TRP3 locus on the 569 570 flanking regions, and to each other in overlapping regions. The PCR products were treated with DPN1 571 (New England Biolabs inc.) and purified using the Agencourt AMPure XP system. We transformed 20 µl of 572 competent BY4742 cells with 1  $\mu$ l (1  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l) bRA89 (TRP3) and 200-300 ng of each module of the 573 insertion cassette. After inserting the dimer, cells were cotransformed with the plasmid carrying CP-574 3xGFP and a plasmid carrying the tetramer fused to mTagBFP2, instead of Venus. For the negative control, the insertion cassette consisted of three fragments: one with the *TDH3* promoter and GB1, one 575 576 with the MS2L containing 3' UTR and one with the *CYC* terminator (please refer to Supplementary Table 1, 2 and 3 for references of the proteins used in these constructs). The three fragments were purified with 577 578 the Agencourt AMPure XP system, joined by PCR, and the resulting piece was again purified. 500 ng of the 579 product was cotransformed with 1 µg of bRA89 (TRP3) to 20 µl competent BY4742 cells. The resulting 580 strain was cotransformed with the CP-3xGFP plasmid as well as the plasmids for the dimer and the BFP-581 tagged tetramer. Finally, all strains were verified by sequencing. We note that one of the 12 MS2 loops was missing in the negative control. However, mRNAs were clearly visible in that strain, allowing us to 582 583 unambiguously assess their co-localization with condensates.

#### 584 Microscopy and Image Processing

Cells were imaged with an Olympus IX83 microscope coupled to a Yokogawa CSU-W1 spinning disc 585 confocal scanner with dual Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash4.0 V2 sCMOS cameras. 16-bit images were acquired 586 587 for Brightfield and two confocal illumination schemes: GFP channel (Ex 488 nm, Toptica 100 mW | Em 525/50 nm, Chroma ET/m), and RFP channel (Ex 561 nm, Obis 75 mW | Em 609/54 nm, Chroma ET/m). 588 589 Imaging was performed with a 60x, 1.35 NA, oil immersion objective (UPLSAPO60XO, Olympus) and 590 FRAP experiments were carried out with a 100x, 1.4 NA, oil-immersion objective (UPLSAPO100XO, 591 Olympus). Automated imaging was performed with a motorized XY stage, onto which a piezo-stage (Mad 592 City Labs) was mounted and used for acquiring z-stacks. For phase diagrams, we acquired seven z-stack 593 images for each fluorescent channel, and the average intensity projection was used. For time-lapse series, 594 eight z-stacks were acquired, and the maximum intensity projection was used.

#### 595 Sample preparation for imaging

A liquid handling robot (Tecan Evo 200) was used to prepare Greiner<sup>m</sup> 384-well glass-bottom optical imaging plates. For imaging, 0.5 µl of saturated cell suspension was transferred into an optical plate with SD medium and grown for 6 h to logarithmic growth. For time-lapse series, cells were grown to an OD600 of 0.4-0.8, transferred to matrical 96-well glass-bottom plates, and covered with 0.5% Agarose/SD containing the respective resistance marker. For time-lapse series of puromycin treatment, cells were not covered with agarose, and puromycin was added to the cells after 6 minutes of imaging, to a final 602 concentration of 10 mM. For treatment with puromycin and cycloheximide, a mixture of the drugs was 603 added to yield a final concentration of 10 mM puromycin and 100 ug/ml cycloheximide. For FRAP 604 experiments, cells were grown and let at saturation for two weeks to generate large condensates. Cells 605 were subsequently fixed with ConA in an optical 96-well plate, as previously described<sup>57</sup>, and FRAP 606 experiments were carried out 6 h after their inoculation into fresh media.

#### 607 Image analysis and generation of in vivo phase diagrams

608 Cells were identified, segmented, and their fluorescent signal (median, average, minimum, maximum, 609 10th, 20th, ..., 90th percentile fluorescence) as well as additional cell properties were identified using 610 custom algorithms<sup>58</sup> in ImageJ/FIJI<sup>59</sup>, and exported as tabulated files. Condensates were identified in each 611 cell independently, in a multistep process: (i) we calculated the median fluorescence intensity of pixels in 612 a given cell. (ii) we identified the largest region composed of pixels with an intensity 3-fold above the 613 median. If such a region existed, showed a circularity above 0.4 and an area above 9 pixels, the cell was 614 deemed to contain a condensate.

615 Tabulated data resulting from image analyses were loaded and analyzed with custom scripts in R. To 616 convert fluorescent intensities to cytosolic concentrations, His-tagged Venus and FusionRed were purified using the GE Healthcare His GraviTrap system. Serial dilutions of each protein were generated, 617 618 fluorescence intensities were recorded, and a linear model was fitted (Supplementary Figure 1). A 619 fluorescent plastic slide (Chroma Technology) served as a constant reference to calibrate fluorescence 620 signals of experiments carried out on different days. Fluorescence signals of the experiments were 621 normalized according to the fluorescent slide and cytosolic concentrations were inferred from the 622 regression of the purified proteins. Finally, cells with condensates were excluded, and the median 623 cytosolic concentrations of YFP and RFP were plotted against each other.

### 624 Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP)

A macro created in VisiView 4.4 ® software was written to capture images on the red channel in rapid succession during the course of a FRAP experiment. Photobleaching was achieved with a 405 nm laser pulse lasting 20 ms after the 10th frame of the acquired series. The RFP channel exposure was set to 50 ms. Images were acquired every 100 ms. 250 frames for a total acquisition time of 25 seconds were acquired.

### 630 Lattice model of dimers and tetramers

631 The tetramer-dimer attraction is the only interaction energy in this simplified lattice model. Nearestneighbor tetramers or dimers separated by solvent molecules do not interact. Higher-order neighbor 632 interactions are neglected and the zero of energy is set by the tetramer-solvent and dimer-solvent 633 interactions, which we take to be equal for simplicity. The thermodynamic criterion for coexistence is an 634 635 equal chemical potential and osmotic pressure for each of the species (tetramer, dimer and solvent 636 molecules) in the two phases. The model captures these effects to predict the concentration, temperature, 637 and binding strength regimes where phase separation occurs. A mean-field theory and calculation that results in the phase diagrams shown in the main text are described in Ref. <sup>60</sup>. 638

639 The experimental data corresponding to the interaction  $1.5 \times 10^{-8}$  M is about  $18 k_B T$  (Fig. 2g). The lattice 640 model involves solving four nonlinear algebraic equations to find the equilibrium concentrations of the 641 complexes and then using interpolation we find the analytical expression for the free energy that we 642 finally use to find the binodal phase diagram numerically. This procedure makes it hard to numerically 643 find the binodal for very large interaction strengths. The theory shows that the minima of the phase

644 diagrams vary exponentially with the interaction strength<sup>60</sup>. For these reasons, we show an overlay of the

645 theoretical binodal (and not a fit) on the experimental data.

#### 646 FRAP data analysis

Custom macros were created in Image//FIII<sup>59</sup> to extract quantitative data from the image series. Data 647 were extracted from the non-bleached area and the bleached area by first manually selecting two pixel 648 649 coordinates, first at the center of the bleached region and second at the center of the non-bleached region. Then, a circular region of interest (ROI) of 6 pixels in diameter was generated. Since small movements of 650 651 the condensate can occur when recording the video, we generated 42 additional adjacent ROIs by translation of either 0.5, 1, 1.5 or 2 pixels in all directions, generating 6, 8, 12, or 16 ROIs for each distance 652 653 respectively. Then, the average intensity of each ROI was extracted for every frame of the image series. The ROI intensities were subsequently analyzed with custom scripts in R. First, for each of the two 654 locations (bleached and unbleached), we averaged 5 sub-ROIs showing either the lowest (bleached area) 655 or highest total fluorescence intensity (non-bleached area). For each frame, the intensity recorded for the 656 657 bleached area was divided by the intensity of the non-bleached area. Finally, the values were normalized as follows:  $x_{norm} = \frac{x - x_{min}}{max(x - x_{min})}$ , where x is the ratio of integrated pixel intensities measured in the 658 659 bleached over unbleached ROI, and  $x_{min}$  is the minimum value of x across the image series.

661

660

### 662 Data availability

663 We provide single-cell measurements of YFP and RFP concentrations for all phase diagrams in a 664 supplementary Excel table. Other data are available from the authors upon request.

#### 665 Code availability

666 Code and custom scripts used in this work are available from the authors upon request. We used the open667 source package oxDNA (version 2.4) to run the sedimentation simulations.

668

## 669 **References (Methods)**

670 Mumberg, D., Müller, R. & Funk, M. Yeast vectors for the controlled expression of 51. heterologous proteins in different genetic backgrounds. *Gene* **156**, 119–122 (1995). 671 Klock, H. E. & Lesley, S. A. The Polymerase Incomplete Primer Extension (PIPE) method 672 52. applied to high-throughput cloning and site-directed mutagenesis. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **498**, 91–103 673 674 (2009). Voth, W. P., Jiang, Y. W. & Stillman, D. J. New 'marker swap' plasmids for converting 53. 675 676 selectable markers on budding yeast gene disruptions and plasmids. Yeast **20**, 985–993 (2003). Brachmann, C. B. et al. Designer deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae 677 54. S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. 678 679 Yeast 14, 115-132 (1998). 680 Anand, R., Beach, A., Li, K. & Haber, J. Rad51-mediated double-strand break repair and 55.

- 681 mismatch correction of divergent substrates. *Nature* **544**, 377–380 (2017).
- 56. Liu, H. *et al.* CRISPR-ERA: a comprehensive design tool for CRISPR-mediated gene editing,
   repression and activation. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 3676–3678 (2015).
- 684 57. Cohen, Y. & Schuldiner, M. Advanced Methods for High-Throughput Microscopy Screening 685 of Genetically Modified Yeast Libraries. in *Methods in Molecular Biology* 127–159 (2011).
- 686 58. Matalon, O., Steinberg, A., Sass, E., Hausser, J. & Levy, E. D. Reprogramming protein 687 abundance fluctuations in single cells by degradation. *bioRxiv* (2018) doi:10.1101/260695.
- 59. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods*689 **9**, 676–682 (2012).
- 690 60. Nandi, S. K., Heidenreich, M., Levy, E. D. & Safran, S. A. Interacting multivalent molecules:
- 691 affinity and valence impact the extent and symmetry of phase separation. *arXiv* 1910.11193 (2019).

692















4.8 x10<sup>-11</sup> 2.8 x10<sup>-7</sup> 1.5 x10<sup>-8</sup> 9.3 x10<sup>-6</sup>









Dimer binding site concentration [nM]









# Affinity





# D33L N34V R38T 3.4 x 10<sup>-13</sup>

