

מכון ויצמן למדע

WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE



Rho-Associated Coiled-Coil Kinase 1 Translocates to the Nucleus and Inhibits Human Cytomegalovirus Propagation

Document Version:

Accepted author manuscript (peer-reviewed)

Citation for published version:

Eliyahu, E, Tirosh, O, Dobesova, M, Nachshon, A, Schwartz, M & Stern-Ginossar, N 2019, 'Rho-Associated Coiled-Coil Kinase 1 Translocates to the Nucleus and Inhibits Human Cytomegalovirus Propagation', *Journal of Virology*, vol. 93, no. 19, 00453-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00453-19>

Total number of authors:

6

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1128/JVI.00453-19](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00453-19)

Published In:

Journal of Virology

License:

CC BY-NC

General rights

@ 2020 This manuscript version is made available under the above license via The Weizmann Institute of Science Open Access Collection is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

How does open access to this work benefit you?

Let us know @ library@weizmann.ac.il

Take down policy

The Weizmann Institute of Science has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Weizmann Institute of Science content complies with copyright restrictions. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact library@weizmann.ac.il providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ROCK1 translocates to the nucleus and inhibits human cytomegalovirus propagation

Erez Eliyahu¹, Osnat Tirosh¹, Martina Dobesova¹, Michal Schwartz¹ and Noam Stern-Ginossar^{1*}

¹ Department of Molecular Genetics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed; noam.stern-ginossar@weizmann.ac.il

Abstract

Rho-associated coiled-coil kinase (ROCK) protein is a central kinase that regulates numerous cellular functions, including cellular polarity, motility, proliferation and apoptosis. Here, we demonstrate that ROCK has antiviral properties and inhibition of its activity results in enhanced propagation of human cytomegalovirus (HCMV). We show that during HCMV infection ROCK1 translocates to the nucleus where it localizes adjacent to the viral replication compartments and co-localizes with the Virus-Induced Chaperone-Enriched (VICE) domain marker Hsc70. We further reveal that inhibition of myosin, one of the central targets of ROCK, also increases HCMV propagation, implying that the anti-viral activity of ROCK is mediated by nuclear activation of the actomyosin network. Finally, we demonstrate that inhibition of ROCK results in more capsid accumulation in the cytoplasm compared to the nucleus, indicating ROCK activity might inhibit the efficient egress of HCMV out of the nucleus. Altogether our findings illustrate ROCK activity restricts HCMV propagation and suggest this inhibitory effect is mediated by suppression of capsid egress out of the nucleus.

Importance

ROCK is a central kinase in cells that regulates numerous cellular functions, including cellular polarity, motility, proliferation and apoptosis. Here we reveal a novel anti-viral activity of ROCK1 during infection with HCMV, a prevalent pathogen infecting most of the population worldwide. We reveal ROCK activity is exerted by translocation to the nucleus where it localizes to discrete domains, which are reminiscent of the Virus-Induced Chaperone-Enriched (VICE) domains described in HSV-1. Our findings suggest that ROCK's anti-viral activity takes place via nuclear activation of the actomyosin network and leads to inhibition of capsid egress out of the nucleus.

Introduction

As obligate intracellular parasites, viruses require the host cell machineries and resources to replicate and propagate. In response, mammalian cells have evolved elaborate defense mechanisms to detect and inhibit viral replication. The innate and acquired immune systems are effective at reducing the burden of viral disease but additional cellular activities provide protection from viruses. These functions are mediated by cellular proteins that are consecutively expressed and called restriction factors. In some cases, restriction of viral replication may result from a cell-regulatory function rather than direct interference with the viral replication cycle (1).

We previously integrated translation efficiency measurements with measurements of protein abundance along HCMV infection to identify 65 cellular proteins that presented profiles which suggested they are targeted for degradation during HCMV infection (2). Since targeted degradation may indicate biological importance, we hypothesized that some of these proteins may act as novel HCMV restriction factors. One of the proteins we identified was the Rho-associated coiled-coil kinase (ROCK)1 protein. ROCK1 and its homologue ROCK2 are serine/threonine kinases that were initially identified as activated Rho (Rho-GTP) interacting proteins (3) and are known today to be the major downstream effectors of the small GTPase RhoA. ROCKs can also be activated, independently of Rho, by several lipids and by oligomerization, possibly through amino-terminal transphosphorylation (3).

Upon activation, ROCKs function as versatile kinases, regulating a plethora of cellular processes including cellular polarity, motility, proliferation and apoptosis (3–5). One of the best characterized roles of ROCKs is regulation of actin-filament assembly and contractility. This is achieved by phosphorylation of different substrates, including LIM kinase, myosin light chain (MLC) and MLC phosphatase (5). Phosphorylation of LIM kinase leads to stabilization of actin filaments and phosphorylation of the myosin light chain (MLC) and inactivation of MLC phosphatase enhance the activity of the motor protein myosin. As a consequence, ROCK activity enhances actin-myosin contraction (4, 6).

In this study, we examined ROCK's function during HCMV infection. By using specific inhibitors and genetic knock down we reveal that ROCK activity inhibits HCMV propagation. We further demonstrate that during HCMV infection ROCK1 is recruited to the

nucleus to specific Hsc70 containing-nuclear domains. These domains are reminiscent of Virus-Induced Chaperone-Enriched (VICE) domains characterized in Herpes Simplex Virus 1 (HSV1) infected cells, and were proposed to act as protein quality control centers that sequester misfolded or modified proteins (7). Moreover, we show that ROCK anti-viral activity is probably mediated by hyperactivation of actin-myosin contraction, as the myosin inhibitor, Blebbistatin, also increased HCMV titers. Finally, we demonstrate that inhibition of ROCK activity enhances both viral gene expression and capsid budding out of the nucleus, suggesting that ROCK antiviral activity might be related to the regulation of actomyosin network in the nucleus.

Results

ROCK inhibition promotes HCMV propagation

Integration of protein production levels (as measured by ribosome profiling) with protein abundance measurements along HCMV infection suggested that Rho-associated coiled-coil kinase 1 (ROCK1), a key regulator of actomyosin network and cell polarity, might be degraded during HCMV infection ((2) and Figure S1A). We previously demonstrated that the RNA levels of ROCK1 were increased during HCMV infection whereas ROCK1 protein levels as measured by immunoblotting were reduced ((2) and Figure S1B), supporting our initial hypothesis that ROCK1 might be degraded during HCMV infection. Since targeted degradation may indicate biological importance, we wanted to test whether the activity of ROCK1 is important for HCMV propagation. To this end, we infected fibroblasts with the HCMV Merlin strain and at 12 hours before infection or 5 hours post infection (hpi) cells were treated with a potent ROCK inhibitor, Y27632 (8). Importantly, inhibition of ROCK resulted in more than a 10-fold increase in viral titers (Figure 1A), suggesting ROCK activity inhibits HCMV propagation. We previously showed the reduction in ROCK1 protein level occurred only when cells were infected with HCMV Merlin strain but not when cells were infected with the HCMV laboratory-adapted strain, AD169, in which a 15 kb comprising the ULb' region (genes UL133–UL150) is deleted (2). We, therefore, tested the effect of ROCK inhibition on AD169 propagation. In support of a substantial difference between these two HCMV strains and in agreement with previous findings (9), inhibition of ROCK activity had no effect on AD169 titers (Figure 1A). We next used an HCMV Merlin strain that contains a GFP tagged UL32 (UL32-GFP)(10), which allows for fluorescence-based monitoring of infection by progeny virions. Fibroblasts were infected with UL32-GFP and ROCK inhibitor was added at different times post infection. Supernatants were collected at 5 days post infection (dpi) and used to infect fresh wild type fibroblasts and the percentage of GFP positive cells was measured by microscopy and flow cytometry, as proxy for viral titers. Utilizing this approach, we could show that inhibiting ROCK activity even at 48 hpi can increase viral propagation (Figure 1B and Figure S1C). Furthermore, inhibition of ROCK by a different, more selective inhibitor, H1152 (11), also resulted in increase in viral titers excluding the possibility that the effect we observed is related to off targets effects of the drug

we used (Figure S1D and S1E). We further established the effect of ROCK inhibition on viral titers by knocking down (KD) ROCK expression using siRNAs. We confirmed that ROCK KD led to a significant reduction in ROCK1 protein expression (Figure S1F). In accordance with our findings using drugs, ROCK KD resulted in a significant increase in viral titers following infection with Merlin strain but not with AD169 strain (Figure 1C).

To define the infection stage affected by ROCK activity, infected cells were treated with ROCK inhibitor and viral proteins levels were examined at different time points post infection (Figure 1D). We observed an elevation in viral protein expression (UL44 and pp28) compared to the untreated sample only at 72 hpi, indicating ROCK activity inhibits late stages of HCMV propagation. In agreement with a late inhibitory effect, we did not observe major differences in the levels of viral DNA replication when ROCK activity was inhibited (Figure 1E).

ROCK1 is translocated to the nucleus during HCMV infection

Given ROCK's anti-viral activity, we sought to determine if ROCK1 is indeed degraded in HCMV-infected cells. Cells were infected with Merlin strain and were then treated with inhibitors of the proteasome (MG132) or lysosome (folimycin). Surprisingly, inhibition of proteasomal or lysosomal degradation did not affect the levels of ROCK1 as assessed by immunoblotting (Figure 2A and data not shown), indicating that ROCK1 is not actively degraded by the proteasome or lysosome in HCMV infected cells.

We therefore aimed to confirm the reduction in ROCK1 protein levels detected by western blot analysis, in an alternative method and to inspect its localization during HCMV infection. We probed for ROCK1 expression using immunofluorescence in mock cells and in cells infected with Merlin or AD169 strains. Remarkably, infection with Merlin, but not with AD169, resulted in translocation of ROCK1 into well-defined nuclear domains (Figure 2B). Subcellular fractionation and immunoblotting analysis confirmed that in mock- and AD169- infected fibroblasts, ROCK1 was predominantly located in the cytoplasmic fractions whereas in Merlin infected fibroblasts, a significant portion of ROCK1 was detected in the nuclear fraction (Figure 2C). Notably, the reduction in ROCK1 levels we measured by immunoblotting occurred at a similar time along infection as its nuclear localization (Figure

S2). We further tested if this translocation of ROCK1 into the nucleus occurs in additional cell types. Epithelial RPE-1 cells were infected with Merlin strain and although as expected the infection was very inefficient (12, 13), ROCK1 was also observed in well-defined nuclear domains in cells that were infected (identified by pp28 staining, Figure 2D).

ROCK1 localizes to nuclear insoluble domains

Since our previous immunoblot analysis suggested that ROCK1 protein levels are reduced during HCMV infection ((2) and Figure S1B), we hypothesized that the nuclear puncta we observed by microscopy might be partially insoluble and therefore affected our ability to detect ROCK1 protein in infected cells using immunoblotting. To test this hypothesis, we harvested mock-infected cells and cells infected with Merlin or AD169 HCMV strains, and lysed them using mild or harsh lysis conditions. When using mild lysis conditions, the detection of ROCK1 by immunoblotting was reduced in cells infected with Merlin strain but not with AD169 strain, compared to mock-infected cells (Figure 3A). This reduction in ROCK1 detection was not simply due to inefficient extraction of nuclear fractions as we obtained comparable levels of UL57 (a viral protein that resides in the nuclear replication compartment). When harsh lysis conditions were used we did not detect any reduction in ROCK1 expression (Figure 3A), supporting the assumption that the puncta-localized ROCK1 is partially insoluble.

To further examine if ROCK1 localizes to insoluble nuclear domains during HCMV infection we tested if it could be extracted from cells using a detergent buffer wash, as it was previously demonstrated that nuclear inclusions are resistant to this short detergent extraction (7, 14). Indeed, we observed that in HCMV-infected cells ROCK1 was resistant to detergent treatment (compare Figure 3B lower and upper panels), whereas pp28 and UL57 were efficiently extracted from the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Figure 3B and Figure S3). We conclude that in Merlin-infected cells ROCK1 localizes to nuclear domains that are partially insoluble and therefore resemble nuclear inclusions.

ROCK1 co-localizes with VICE domain marker Hsc70

In HCMV-infected cells, viral gene expression, DNA replication and encapsidation occur in large nuclear structures designated as viral replication compartments. To examine ROCK1 localization relative to the replication compartment we stained HCMV-infected cells for

ROCK1 together with metabolic labeling of nascent viral DNA using 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) that was visualized using Click chemistry or with co-staining for UL57, which is found throughout the viral replication compartment. These co-staining demonstrated that ROCK1 localized to defined regions that are adjacent to the viral replication compartment (Figures 4A and 4B).

In Herpes Simplex Virus type 1 (HSV1) infected cells, it was demonstrated that cellular chaperone proteins such as Hsc70 are translocated to the nucleus and organize into Virus-Induced Chaperone-Enriched (VICE) domains (7, 15–18). Similar to our observations about ROCK1, VICE domains in HSV1 infected cells are formed adjacent to nuclear viral replication compartment and were shown to be resistant to detergent extraction (7). In HCMV infected cells components of the ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS) were demonstrated to assemble into domains at the periphery of replication compartments (19, 20), suggesting similar structures might be generated during HCMV infection.

We therefore examined whether ROCK1 is localized to structures which are similar to VICE domains. To this end we stained mock- and HCMV-infected cells for Hsc70, a marker that was used to label VICE domains in HSV1 infected cells (15, 16). In HCMV infected cells a portion of the Hsc70 protein was translocated to specific puncta in nucleus and this puncta-localized Hsc70 co-localized with ROCK1 (Figure 4C). These results indicate that ROCK1 localizes to domains similar to VICE domains during HCMV infection.

ROCK inhibition enhances virus egress out of the nucleus

We next wanted to elucidate how ROCK inhibition enhances HCMV propagation. It was previously demonstrated that ROCK inhibition reduces cellular apoptosis (21). Therefore, a simple explanation for the enhanced viral production when ROCK is inhibited could stem from improved cell survival. However, using both PI staining and XTT assay, we did not observe any differences in cell viability when HCMV infected cells were treated with ROCK inhibitor (Figure 5A and Figure S4). ROCK activity enhances intracellular contractive forces via the actomyosin network (22). To test if the anti-viral activity of ROCK is related to actomyosin-mediated contractility we tested the effects of the myosin inhibitor, Blebbistatin, on HCMV propagation. Notably, similarly to ROCK inhibition, inhibition of myosin activity

195 resulted in enhancement of Merlin but not AD169 propagation (Figure 5B), indicating the
196 anti-viral activity of ROCK might be related to the activation of the actomyosin network.

197 Since the actomyosin network can affect nuclear organization, we next tested if ROCK
198 inhibition disrupts the formation of VICE-like domains by analyzing Hsc70 localization.
199 Inhibition of ROCK did not affect Hsc70 localization to nuclear domains (Figure 5C),
200 although we verified it abolished the phosphorylation of MLC (Figure S5), illustrating ROCK
201 activity is probably not important for the generation Hsc70 containing domains. Furthermore,
202 we could identify nuclear domains containing Hsc70 in cells infected with the HCMV AD169
203 strain (Figure 5D), in which ROCK1 is not recruited to the nucleus (Figure 2B), supporting
204 the conclusion that ROCK activity is not involved in the formation of VICE-like Hsc70
205 containing domains. In contrast, we noticed that inhibition of ROCK activity resulted in less
206 recruitment of ROCK1 itself into the nucleus (Figure 5E), suggesting that ROCK1
207 translocation to the nucleus is dependent in its activity.

208 The observation that ROCK inhibition reduced its nuclear localization pointed out that
209 ROCK anti-viral activity is likely related to its nuclear localization. Furthermore, our results
210 indicated that ROCK activity inhibits late stages of HCMV replication and is involved in the
211 activation of the actomyosin network. We therefore sought to determine whether ROCK
212 inhibition affects the nuclear egress of HCMV which was recently shown to depend on
213 nuclear actin filaments (23). Subcellular fractionation and immunoblotting analysis
214 demonstrated that UL32, a tegument protein that associates with HCMV capsids (24), was
215 more abundant in the cytoplasmic fraction when ROCK was inhibited (Figure 5F). This result
216 indicates that inhibition of ROCK activity probably leads to more efficient exit of HCMV
217 capsids out of the nucleus. Over all, our results demonstrate that during infection with HCMV
218 using a wild type strain, such as Merlin, ROCK1 kinase restricts HCMV propagation. We
219 propose a mechanism by which ROCK1 translocates to the nucleus where it activates the
220 actomyosin network and this process inhibits late viral gene expression the efficient exit of
221 HCMV capsids out of the nucleus.

Discussion

In this study, we first reveal that ROCK activity restricts HCMV propagation as inhibition of ROCK resulted in a significant 10-fold increase in viral titers. Interestingly we show that during HCMV infection ROCK1 translocates to the nucleus, where it localizes to nuclear domains that are insoluble and contain Hsc70, therefore show resemblance to the VICE domains that were previously characterized in HSV1-infected cells (7). Although ROCK1 localizes to VICE-like domains, our experiments suggest its activity is not required for these domains' formation, and it is likely that they are functionally independent. Nevertheless, it is still possible that ROCK activity plays a role in recruiting substrates to this still enigmatic compartment. Our experiments do reveal, however, that inhibition of ROCK partially blocks its own recruitment to the nucleus. This result, together with the observations that during infection with HCMV AD169 strain ROCK1 is not recruited to the nucleus and does not affect viral titers, suggests that ROCK anti-viral activity is nuclear.

ROCK's activity was previously studied in the context of infections revealing plethora of effects. In the case of Equine Herpesvirus 1 (EHV1) and HSV1 ROCK inhibition inhibited viral entry (25, 26). For EHV1 the importance of ROCK activity was tested for two different entry pathways, direct fusion and endocytic pathway. In both, ROCK was critical for infection, suggesting ROCK is not required for the initial penetration step but to later stages of entry (26). ROCK activity was also demonstrated to have opposing roles in infection-induced cell motility. It was demonstrated that vaccinia virus induces cell motility through inhibiting both ROCK and mDia (27). In contrast, during HCMV infection of vascular smooth muscle cell, a viral encoded chemokine, US28, was shown to induce cell motility. In this case inhibition of ROCK activity blocked US28-induced cellular migration, suggesting ROCK signaling is important for US28-mediated cellular migration (28). Our observations indicate an anti-viral activity of ROCK, which seems to be unrelated to these previously described infection related functions of ROCK. In support of our findings that ROCK activity inhibits HCMV propagation, a recent siRNA screen that examined the effects of membrane organization factors on HCMV propagation revealed that KD ROCK1 significantly increase HCMV titers (29).

ROCKs act as kinases that phosphorylate various substrates, including MLC and LIM kinase (3) and one of ROCK's central roles is to regulate actomyosin contractility. Interestingly, we reveal that treating HCMV infected cells, following viral entry, with the myosin inhibitor, Blebbistatin, induces viral titers to similar extent as ROCK inhibition. Also in the case of myosin inhibition, increased viral titers were observed only when cells are infected with the Merlin strain but not with the AD169 strain. These results suggest that ROCK anti-viral activity is probably related to its regulation of the actomyosin network.

Actomyosin-mediated contractility is a highly conserved mechanism that generates mechanical stress in animal cells and is involved in many cellular processes such as changes in shape, intracellular transport and cell mechanosensing (30). Our results suggest that the anti-viral activity of ROCK is nuclear and occurs at a late time point of infection. Previously it was shown that tracking UL32 can be used to track viral processes in infected cells, including nuclear egress (31). ROCK inhibition leads to a significant elevation of cytoplasmic UL32 at late time points of infection, suggesting that nuclear egress is inhibited by ROCK activity.

The involvement of nuclear actomyosin network in intranuclear movements of herpesvirus capsids was previously studied and remains controversial (32). HSV1 capsids motility in the nucleus were shown to be antagonized by temperature reduction or by inhibitors of ATP, myosin, or actin (33). In line with these observations it has been shown that HSV1 and pseudorabies virus (PRV) infections result in the formation of nuclear actin filaments and HSV1 capsids were shown to associate with nuclear myosin V (34). In contrast more recent analysis reported that HSV1 and PRV infections remodel nuclear architecture so that capsids can diffuse to the nuclear periphery (35). For HCMV it was demonstrated that nuclear actin filaments are induced during infection and that these actin filaments are important for HCMV nuclear egress (23). Furthermore, HCMV capsids were shown to associate with nuclear myosin V which was required for capsid accumulation in the cytoplasm and for efficient production of infectious virus (36). Our results add another level of complexity to these previous findings as we show that inhibition of ROCK and direct inhibition of Myosin II with Blebbistatin increases viral titers. These results suggest that nuclear actomyosin activity can also suppress HCMV propagation. However, we cannot preclude that this is an indirect effect occurring via other cellular processes, such as the

282 mechano-state of the nucleus. It is clear that herpesvirus infection and specifically the
283 generation of replication compartment poses some major mechanical constraints on the
284 nucleus. Recent evidence shows that the local mechano-environment within cells can regulate
285 transcription (37). There is also evidence for roles of nuclear actin and myosin in
286 transcription, chromatin remodeling, and mRNA export (38, 39). It is therefore possible that
287 ROCK inhibition relieves a potential stress or constrains on transcription that then affects
288 viral propagation and viral egress out of the nucleus. Supporting this notion of an indirect
289 effect is the increase we observed in viral gene expression at 72 hpi when we inhibit ROCK
290 activity. This increase could not be solely explained by more efficient exit of capsids out of
291 the nucleus.

292 Another interesting aspect of our findings is the differences we reveal between the
293 HCMV laboratory-adapted strain, AD169, in which a 15 kb composing the ULb' region
294 (genes UL133–UL150) is deleted and the Merlin strain that is considered a WT strain with
295 characterized mutation in only two viral proteins (10). It is well established that there are
296 drastic differences in the entry pathways different HCMV strains are using (40). Our results
297 point there might also be ULb'- dependent differences in the way HCMV bud out of infected
298 cells. Future work will have to delineate the contribution of different viral genes and their
299 association with ROCK1 entry to the nucleus and anti-viral activity.

300 In summary, we demonstrate that ROCK activity inhibits HCMV propagation at late
301 stages of infection. Our results indicate that this activity is related to nuclear activation of the
302 actomyosin network. Our findings and future studies aimed at resolving the role of the
303 nuclear actomyosin- network for HCMV propagation may be important not just for HCMV
304 biology but also for general understanding of the potential functions of actomyosin in the
305 nucleus.

Acknowledgments:

We thank Stern-Ginossar lab members for critical reading of the manuscript. This research was supported by the European Research Council starting grant (StG-2014-638142), the EU-FP7-PEOPLE Career integration grant and the Israeli Science Foundation (1073/14). NSG is incumbent of the Skirball career development chair in new scientists.

Figure legends

Figure 1. ROCK activity inhibits HCMV propagation

(A) HFF cells were infected with Merlin or AD169 HCMV strains and the ROCK inhibitor Y27632 or DMSO (as control) were added 12hr before or 5hr post infection. Supernatants were collected 5 dpi and viral titers were measured by TCID50 assay. (B) HFF cells were infected with Merlin UL32-GFP strain and were either treated with Y27632 at 5, 24, 48 and 72 hpi, or treated with DMSO. Supernatants were collected at 5 dpi and were used to infect fresh HFF cells. Viral titers were quantified by measuring percentage of GFP positive cells using FACS. (C) HFF cells were transfected with an siRNA pool targeting ROCK1 and 2 or a control siRNA pool and infected with Merlin or AD169 HCMV strains at MOI=3. Supernatants were collected 5 dpi and were used to infect fresh HFF cells. Viral titers were quantified by measuring percentage of GFP positive cells using FACS. (D-E) HFF cell were infected with Merlin strain and ROCK inhibitor (Y26732) was added at 5, 24 and 48 hpi. (D) Proteins were extracted at the indicated times and analyzed by Western blot analysis with IE1/2, UL44 and PP28 serving as immediate early, early and late gene markers respectively. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (E) DNA was extracted 72 hpi and quantified by real-time PCR using primers for UL55. DNA levels were normalized to the human gene B2M. Means and error bars (showing standard deviations) represent triplicates. * p-value<0.05, ** p-value<0.01 by two-sided student's t-test.

Figure 2. ROCK1 re-localizes to the nucleus after infection with HCMV Merlin strain

(A) MG132 was added to mock- or Merlin-infected cells at 72 hpi for 5 hours and ROCK1 levels and MHC Class I, which was used as positive control, were analyzed by Western blot. GAPDH was used as a loading control (B) Fluorescent microscopy images of mock-infected HFF cells (top) or HFF cells infected with Merlin (middle) or AD169 (bottom) HCMV strains, and stained with DAPI (blue) and ROCK1 antibody (red) at 72 hpi. (C) Subcellular localization of ROCK1 protein was examined by cellular fractionation at 72 hpi, separating between the cytosol and nuclear fractions. Equivalent amount of proteins from the total (T) cytosol (C) and nucleus (N) fractions were analyzed by western blot for ROCK1, GAPDH (cytosolic marker) and histone H2B (nuclear marker). Quantification of the ratios of nuclear

and cytosolic ROCK1 from two independent experiments is presented. Error bars show standard deviations. ** p-value<0.01 by two-sided student's t-test. **(E)** RPE cells were infected with HCMV Merlin strain and at 72 hpi stained with DAPI (blue), PP28 (green) and ROCK1 (red).

Figure 3. ROCK1 in HCMV infected cells is resistant to detergent treatment

(A) Total protein from uninfected HFF cells or HFF cells infected with Merlin or AD169 strains, was extracted 72 hpi using mild or harsh lysis buffers. Protein levels were detected by Western blot analysis for ROCK1, GAPDH and UL57. **(B)** Fluorescent microscopy images of Merlin infected HFF cells treated with either PBS or detergent extraction buffer and stained with pp28 (green) and ROCK1 (red) antibodies and DAPI (blue).

Figure 4. Nuclear ROCK1 localize to HCMV-induced VICE-like domains

(A-B) HFF cells were infected with Merlin strain and stained at 72 hpi for ROCK1 (red) and DAPI (blue). Replication compartments were imaged by either metabolically labeling nascent DNA with ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EDU) and attaching a fluorophore by "Click" chemistry (green) **(A)** or by staining for UL57 (green) **(B)**. **(C)** HFF cells were mock-infected or infected with Merlin strain and stained at 72 hpi for ROCK1 (red), HSC70 (green) and DAPI (blue).

Figure 5. ROCK activity blocks viral egress out of the nucleus

(A) Merlin infected HFF cells were harvested at 5 dpi, stained with PI (Propidium iodide) and analyzed by FACS. Means and standard deviations of triplicates are represent. **(B)** HFF cells were infected with Merlin UL32-GFP or AD169-GFP HCMV strains and ROCK inhibitor (Y27632) or myosin inhibitor (blebbistatin) were added 5 hpi. Supernatant were collected at 5 dpi and used to infect fresh HFF cells. Viral titers were quantified by measuring percentage of GFP positive cells using FACS. Means and standard deviations of triplicates are represent. **(C)** HFF cells were infected with Merlin HCMV strain and treated with ROCK inhibitor (Y27632) or DMSO (as control) at 5 hpi and stained 72 hpi with DAPI (blue) and HSC70

antibody (green). Cells were treated with detergent extraction buffer before staining. The quantification of the percentage of cells containing HSC70 puncta in the nucleus from three independent experiments is presented (n=200). Error bars show standard deviations. **(D)** HFF cells infected with AD169 were stained at 72 hpi with DAPI (blue) and HSC70 antibody (green). **(E)** HFF cells were infected with the Merlin strain and at 5 hpi cells were treated with ROCK inhibitor (Y26732) or DMSO (as control). At 72 hpi the cells were stained with DAPI (blue) and ROCK1 antibody (red). The quantification of the percentage of cells containing ROCK1 puncta in the nucleus from three independent experiments is presented (n=400). Error bars show standard deviations. **(F)** Cells infected with Merlin strain harboring a UL32-GFP were fractionated to separate between the cytosol and the nucleus fractions. Proteins were analyzed by western blot for UL32-GFP, GAPDH (cytosolic marker) and UL57 (nuclear marker). Quantification of the ratios of nuclear and cytosolic GFP from three independent experiments is presented. Error bars show standard deviations. * p-value<0.05, ** p-value<0.01 *** p-value<0.001 by two-sided student's t-test.

Figure S1. ROCK1 inhibits HCMV infection

(A) Ribosome profiling measurements of ROCK1 translation compared with protein abundance (2). **(B)** Analysis of ROCK1 RNA (upper panel) and protein (lower panel) levels. RNA was measured by real time RT-PCR and the levels were normalized to the human transcript MFGE8. ROCK1 protein was measured by western blot analysis. GAPDH was used as a loading control. **(C)** HFF cells were infected at MOI=5 with Merlin UL32-GFP strain and were either treated with ROCK inhibitor (Y27632) at 5, 24, 48 and 72 hpi, or treated with DMSO as negative control. Supernatants were collected 5 dpi and were used to infect fresh HFF cells, which were visualized by fluorescence microscopy. **(D-E)** HFF cells were infected at MOI=5 with Merlin UL32-GFP strain and at 5 hpi were either treated with ROCK inhibitors (Y26732 and H1152) or with DMSO as negative control. Supernatants were collected 5 dpi and were used to infect fresh HFF cells, GFP positive cells which were visualized by fluorescence microscopy **(D)** and quantified by FACS **(E)**. Means and error bars of triplicates are presented. * p-value<0.05, ** p-value<0.01 by two-sided student's t-test. **(F)** HFF cells were transfected with an siRNA pool targeting ROCKs or a control siRNA pool

and infected with Merlin or AD169 HCMV strains. Proteins were extracted at the indicated times post infection and the levels of ROCK1 and IE1/2 were analyzed by western blot analysis. GAPDH was used as a loading control.

Figure S2. The reduction in ROCK1 signal by western blot concurs with its localization to nuclear puncta along infection

A graph showing the relative levels of ROCK1 (compared to mock) along infection as determined by western blot analysis and the percentage of nuclei in which ROCK1 was localized to nuclear puncta as quantified by microscopy (n=200).

Figure S3. ROCK1 in HCMV infected cells is resistant to detergent treatment

Mock- or Merlin- infected cells were treated with either PBS or detergent extraction buffer. Cells were then fixed, permeabilized and the localization of ROCK1 and UL57 was detected by immunofluorescence.

Figure S4. Elevated viral titers induced by inhibition of ROCK are not due to increased cell viability

Merlin infected HFF cells were treated with the ROCK inhibitor Y26732 or with DMSO as control, and cell viability was measured by XTT assay. A representative analysis of two independent experiments is shown. Means and error bars (showing standard deviations) represent five replicates.

Figure S5. Treatment with the ROCK inhibitor Y26732 abolishes MLC phosphorylation

HFF cells were treated with the ROCK inhibitor Y26732 for 6hrs and MLC phosphorylation was assayed by western blot using an antibody for phospho-MLC. GAPDH was used as a loading control.

Materials and methods

Cells, viruses and treatments

Human fibroblasts (CRL-1634), RPE1 (CRL-4000) and the HCMV Merlin strain (VR-1590) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The Merlin UL32-GFP was kindly provided by R. Stanton (41). The AD169 virus was previously described (42–44). The AD169-GFP was kindly provided by M. Messerle (45). Cells were infected at a multiplicity of infection MOI=5, unless stated otherwise, by incubation with the virus for 1hr followed by media replacement.

To achieve ROCK inhibition cells were treated with 10uM Y27632 (sigma) or 2uM H1152 (Santa Cruz) at the indicated times. Myosin was inhibited by treating cells with 2uM of blebbistatin. For proteasome inhibition cells were added with 10um of MG132 for 8h and proteins were extracted and analyzed by western blot.

To test cells viability trypsinized cells were centrifuged at 300g for 5 min and resuspended in 200ul PBS. 0.5µg/ml of *Propidium iodide* (PI) was added, incubated for 1 min and analyzed by FACS. Mock infected cells either untreated or after heat shock treatment of 10min at 65°C served as negative and positive controls, respectively.

TCID₅₀ assay

10⁴ HFF cells were plated in 96-well plates and cells were infected with 10-fold serial dilutions of supernatant from infected cells, untreated or treated with inhibitor, collected 5 dpi. At 12dpi the dilutions showing cytopathic effect were evaluated by light microscopy. The TCID₅₀/ml was calculated using the Spearman-Kärber method (46).

Knockdown by siRNA

Cells were transfected with siRNA validated for ROCKs (ON-TARGET plus siRNA, Dharmacon) or negative control (IDT) in the presence of Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent

(Life Technologies), according to manufacturer's protocol. Infection was performed 24 hours after transfection.

Viral titer measurements using flow cytometry

HFF cells were infected with Merlin UL32-GFP strain or AD169-GFP. 5dpi the supernatant was transferred to fresh HFF cells and 48hpi cells were harvested and percentage of GFP positive cells was measured by flow cytometry and normalized to the relevant control.

Western blot analysis

Cells were lysed using harsh buffer (150mM Sodium Chloride, 1% Triton X100, 0.5% Sodium deoxycholate, 50mM Tris pH8.0, 0.1% SDS) or mild buffer (150mM Sodium Chloride, 0.2% Triton X100, 50mM Tris pH8.0, 0.1% SDS). Lysates were rotated at 4°C for 10 min and then centrifuged at 20,000× g for 15 min at 4°C. Samples were then separated by 4–12% polyacrylamide Bis-tris gel electrophoresis (Invitrogen), blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes and immunoblotted with primary antibodies; α ROCK1 (ab134181 abcam); α GAPDH (2118S, Cell signaling); α UL44 (ICP36) (CA006 Virusys); α pp28 (CA004, Eastcoast); α Histone H2B (ab1790, Abcam); α phospho-MLC2 (C-3674, Cell Signaling Technology). Secondary antibodies were Goat anti-rabbit, Goat anti-mouse (IRDye 800CW or IRDye 680RD, Licor), or Goat anti-Rat (Alexa Fluor 680, ab175778, Abcam). Reactive bands were detected by Odyssey CLx infrared imaging system (Licor). Protein concentration was measured by Bradford assay (Sigma cat no. B6916). Protein quantification was performed using Licor software.

Cellular fractionation

2×10^6 HFF cells were seeded in a 10cm plate and either mock-infected or infected with HCMV (MOI 5). At 72 hpi, cells were fractionated using an NE-PER™ kit (thermo Fisher cat 78833). Nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were separated on SDS gel and analyzed by Western blot.

499

500 **Immunofluorescence**

501 Cells were plated on ibidi slides and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, washed in
502 PBS (pH 7.4) and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, then blocked
503 with 10% goat serum in PBS for 30 minutes. Immunostaining was performed for the detection
504 of: ROCK1 (abcam 156284) HSC70 (Stressgen SPA-815) UL99 (Eastcoast bio CA004)
505 UL57 (viruses corporation p1209). Cells were washed 3 times with PBS and labeled with the
506 appropriate secondary antibody for 1 hr at room temperature; anti-rabbit Rhodamine Red-X-
507 conjugated (Jackson ImmunoResearch 711-295-152), CyTM2 AffiniPure Rabbit Anti-Human
508 IgG (H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch 711-255-152), anti-rat Rhodamine Red-X-conjugated
509 (Jackson ImmunoResearch 711-295-152) and FITC (sigma Anti-mouse IgG F0257). In situ
510 detergent extraction to remove non matrix-bound proteins was performed as previously
511 described (Christine M. Livingston et al, PLoS Pathogen 2009). Imaging was performed on a
512 AxioObserver Z1 widefield microscope using a 40x, 63x oil objective and AxioCam 506
513 mono camera.

514

515 **EdU staining**

516 EdU staining was performed based on (47). Briefly, HFF cells infected with Merlin for 3 days
517 were incubated with 10uM 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) (Jena Bioscience GmbH) for
518 30min. Cells were then fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 10 min, Permeabilized with 0.5%
519 Triton® X-100 for 20 min and stained with staining mix (100mM Tris pH 8.5, 1mM CuSO₄,
520 10uM fluorescent azide, 100mM ascorbic acid) for 30 min. EdU-stained cells were
521 immunostained for ROCK1 by using standard protocol.

522

523 **Real-time PCR**

524 Total DNA was extracted using QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (51104) according to the
525 manufacturer's protocol. Real time PCR was performed using the SYBR Green PCR master-
526 mix (ABI) on a real-time PCR system StepOnePlus (life technologies) with the following
527 primers (forward, reverse):

528 UL55; TGGGCGAGGACAACGAA, TGAGGCTGGGAAGCTGACAT

529 B2M; TGCTGTCTCCATGTTTGATGTATCT, TCTCTGCTCCCCACCTCTAAGT

530 **XTT cell viability assay**

531 HFF cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 5×10^3 cells/well, infected the next day with
532 HCMV Merlin strain and treated with the ROCK inhibitor Y26732 or with DMSO as
533 control. 4dpi cells were assayed for cell viability using the XTT-cell proliferation kit
534 (Biological Industries, Beit Haemek, Israel) according to the manufacturer's
535 instructions. The absorbance was measured in an ELISA plate reader at a wavelength
536 of 470 nm and normalized to a background control.

537

538

539 **References**

- 540 1. Duggal NK, Emerman M. 2012. Evolutionary conflicts between viruses and restriction
541 factors shape immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol* 12:687–695.
- 542 2. Tirosh O, Cohen Y, Shitrit A, Shani O, Le-Trilling VTK, Trilling M, Friedlander G,
543 Tanenbaum M, Stern-Ginossar N. 2015. The Transcription and Translation Landscapes
544 during Human Cytomegalovirus Infection Reveal Novel Host-Pathogen Interactions.
545 *PLOS Pathog* 11:e1005288.
- 546 3. Riento K, Ridley AJ. 2003. ROCKs: multifunctional kinases in cell behaviour. *Nat Rev*
547 *Mol Cell Biol* 4:446–456.
- 548 4. Amano M, Ito M, Kimura K, Fukata Y, Chihara K, Nakano T, Matsuura Y, Kaibuchi K.
549 1996. Phosphorylation and activation of myosin by Rho-associated kinase (Rho-kinase). *J*
550 *Biol Chem* 271:20246–9.
- 551 5. Schofield A V., Bernard O. 2013. Rho-associated coiled-coil kinase (ROCK) signaling
552 and disease. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 48:301–316.
- 553 6. Maekawa M, Ishizaki T, Boku S, Watanabe N, Fujita A, Iwamatsu A, Obinata T, Ohashi
554 K, Mizuno K, Narumiya S. 1999. Signaling from Rho to the actin cytoskeleton through
555 protein kinases ROCK and LIM-kinase. *Science* 285:895–8.
- 556 7. Livingston CM, Ifrim MF, Cowan AE, Weller SK. 2009. Virus-Induced Chaperone-
557 Enriched (VICE) Domains Function as Nuclear Protein Quality Control Centers during
558 HSV-1 Infection. *PLoS Pathog* 5:e1000619.
- 559 8. Ishizaki T, Uehata M, Tamechika I, Keel J, Nonomura K, Maekawa M, Narumiya S.
560 2000. Pharmacological properties of Y-27632, a specific inhibitor of rho-associated
561 kinases. *Mol Pharmacol* 57:976–83.
- 562 9. Sharon-Friling R, Shenk T. 2014. Human cytomegalovirus pUL37x1-induced calcium
563 flux activates PKC , inducing altered cell shape and accumulation of cytoplasmic vesicles.
564 *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 111:E1140–E1148.
- 565 10. Stanton RJ, Baluchova K, Dargan DJ, Cunningham C, Sheehy O, Seirafian S, McSharry
566 BP, Neale ML, Davies JA, Tomasec P, Davison AJ, Wilkinson GWG. 2010.

567 Reconstruction of the complete human cytomegalovirus genome in a BAC reveals RL13
568 to be a potent inhibitor of replication. *J Clin Invest* 120:3191–3208.

569 11. Sasaki Y, Suzuki M, Hidaka H. The novel and specific Rho-kinase inhibitor (S)-(+)-2-
570 methyl-1-[(4-methyl-5-isoquinoline)sulfonyl]-homopiperazine as a probing molecule for
571 Rho-kinase-involved pathway. *Pharmacol Ther* 93:225–32.

572 12. Ryckman BJ, Rainish BL, Chase MC, Borton JA, Nelson JA, Jarvis MA, Johnson DC.
573 2008. Characterization of the Human Cytomegalovirus gH/gL/UL128-131 Complex That
574 Mediates Entry into Epithelial and Endothelial Cells. *J Virol* 82:60–70.

575 13. Murrell I, Tomasec P, Wilkie GS, Dargan DJ, Davison AJ, Stanton RJ. 2013. Impact of
576 Sequence Variation in the UL128 Locus on Production of Human Cytomegalovirus in
577 Fibroblast and Epithelial Cells. *J Virol* 87:10489–10500.

578 14. Kopito RR. 2000. Aggresomes, inclusion bodies and protein aggregation. *Trends Cell Biol*
579 10:524–30.

580 15. Burch AD, Weller SK. 2004. Nuclear sequestration of cellular chaperone and proteasomal
581 machinery during herpes simplex virus type 1 infection. *J Virol* 78:7175–85.

582 16. Burch AD, Weller SK. 2005. Herpes simplex virus type 1 DNA polymerase requires the
583 mammalian chaperone hsp90 for proper localization to the nucleus. *J Virol* 79:10740–9.

584 17. Livingston CM, DeLuca NA, Wilkinson DE, Weller SK. 2008. Oligomerization of ICP4
585 and rearrangement of heat shock proteins may be important for herpes simplex virus type
586 1 prereplicative site formation. *J Virol* 82:6324–36.

587 18. Li L, Johnson LA, Dai-Ju JQ, Sandri-Goldin RM. 2008. Hsc70 Focus Formation at the
588 Periphery of HSV-1 Transcription Sites Requires ICP27. *PLoS One* 3:e1491.

589 19. Tran K, Mahr JA, Spector DH. 2010. Proteasome Subunits Relocalize during Human
590 Cytomegalovirus Infection, and Proteasome Activity Is Necessary for Efficient Viral Gene
591 Transcription. *J Virol* 84:3079–3093.

592 20. Lin S-R, Jiang MJ, Wang H-H, Hu C-H, Hsu M-S, Hsi E, Duh C-Y, Wang S-K. 2013.
593 Human cytomegalovirus UL76 elicits novel aggresome formation via interaction with S5a
594 of the ubiquitin proteasome system. *J Virol* 87:11562–78.

- 595 21. Watanabe K, Ueno M, Kamiya D, Nishiyama A, Matsumura M, Wataya T, Takahashi JB,
596 Nishikawa S, Nishikawa S, Muguruma K, Sasai Y. 2007. A ROCK inhibitor permits
597 survival of dissociated human embryonic stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 25:681–686.
- 598 22. Charras G, Paluch E. 2008. Blebs lead the way: how to migrate without lamellipodia. *Nat*
599 *Rev Mol Cell Biol* 9:730–736.
- 600 23. Wilkie AR, Lawler JL, Coen DM. 2016. A Role for Nuclear F-Actin Induction in Human
601 Cytomegalovirus Nuclear Egress. *MBio* 7:e01254-16.
- 602 24. Varnum SM, Streblow DN, Monroe ME, Smith P, Auberry KJ, Pasa-Tolic L, Wang D,
603 Camp DG, Rodland K, Wiley S, Britt W, Shenk T, Smith RD, Nelson JA, Nelson JA.
604 2004. Identification of proteins in human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) particles: the HCMV
605 proteome. *J Virol* 78:10960–6.
- 606 25. Zheng K, Xiang Y, Wang X, Wang Q, Zhong M, Wang S, Wang X, Fan J, Kitazato K,
607 Wang Y. 2014. Epidermal growth factor receptor-PI3K signaling controls cofilin activity
608 to facilitate herpes simplex virus 1 entry into neuronal cells. *MBio* 5:e00958-13.
- 609 26. Frampton AR, Stolz DB, Uchida H, Goins WF, Cohen JB, Glorioso JC. 2007. Equine
610 herpesvirus 1 enters cells by two different pathways, and infection requires the activation
611 of the cellular kinase ROCK1. *J Virol* 81:10879–89.
- 612 27. Valderrama F, Cordeiro J V, Schleich S, Frischknecht F, Way M. 2006. Vaccinia virus-
613 induced cell motility requires F11L-mediated inhibition of RhoA signaling. *Science*
614 311:377–81.
- 615 28. Melnychuk RM, Streblow DN, Smith PP, Hirsch AJ, Pancheva D, Nelson JA. 2004.
616 Human cytomegalovirus-encoded G protein-coupled receptor US28 mediates smooth
617 muscle cell migration through Gα12. *J Virol* 78:8382–91.
- 618 29. McCormick D, Lin Y-T, Grey F. 2018. Identification of Host Factors Involved in Human
619 Cytomegalovirus Replication, Assembly, and Egress Using a Two-Step Small Interfering
620 RNA Screen. *MBio* 9:e00716-18.
- 621 30. Murrell M, Oakes PW, Lenz M, Gardel ML. 2015. Forcing cells into shape: the mechanics
622 of actomyosin contractility. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 16:486–498.

- 623 31. Sampaio KL, Cavnac Y, Stierhof Y-D, Sinzger C. 2005. Human cytomegalovirus
624 labeled with green fluorescent protein for live analysis of intracellular particle movements.
625 J Virol 79:2754–67.
- 626 32. Bosse JB, Enquist LW. 2016. The diffusive way out: Herpesviruses remodel the host
627 nucleus, enabling capsids to access the inner nuclear membrane. Nucleus 7:13–19.
- 628 33. Forest T, Barnard S, Baines JD. 2005. Active intranuclear movement of herpesvirus
629 capsids. Nat Cell Biol 7:429–431.
- 630 34. Feierbach B, Piccinotti S, Bisher M, Denk W, Enquist LW. 2006. Alpha-Herpesvirus
631 Infection Induces the Formation of Nuclear Actin Filaments. PLoS Pathog 2:e85.
- 632 35. Bosse JB, Hogue IB, Feric M, Thiberge SY, Sodeik B, Brangwynne CP, Enquist LW.
633 2015. Remodeling nuclear architecture allows efficient transport of herpesvirus capsids by
634 diffusion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:E5725-33.
- 635 36. Wilkie AR, Sharma M, Pesola JM, Ericsson M, Fernandez R, Coen DM. 2018. A Role for
636 Myosin Va in Human Cytomegalovirus Nuclear Egress. J Virol 92:e01849-17.
- 637 37. Simon DN, Wilson KL. 2011. The nucleoskeleton as a genome-associated dynamic
638 “network of networks.” Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 12:695–708.
- 639 38. de Lanerolle P, Johnson T, Hofmann WA. 2005. Actin and myosin I in the nucleus: what
640 next? Nat Struct Mol Biol 12:742–746.
- 641 39. Visa N, Percipalle P. 2010. Nuclear functions of actin. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol
642 2:a000620.
- 643 40. Li G, Kamil JP. 2016. Viral Regulation of Cell Tropism in Human Cytomegalovirus. J
644 Virol 90:626–9.
- 645 41. Stanton RJ, Baluchova K, Dargan DJ, Cunningham C, Sheehy O, Seirafian S, McSharry
646 BP, Neale ML, Davies JA, Tomasec P, Davison AJ, Wilkinson GWG. 2010.
647 Reconstruction of the complete human cytomegalovirus genome in a BAC reveals RL13
648 to be a potent inhibitor of replication. J Clin Invest 120:3191–3208.
- 649 42. Le VTK, Trilling M, Hengel H. 2011. The Cytomegaloviral Protein pUL138 Acts as
650 Potentiator of Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF) Receptor 1 Surface Density To Enhance

651 ULb'-Encoded Modulation of TNF- Signaling. J Virol 85:13260–13270.

- 652 43. Seidel E, Le VTK, Bar-On Y, Tsukerman P, Enk J, Yamin R, Stein N, Schmiedel D,
653 Oiknine Djian E, Weisblum Y, Tirosh B, Stastny P, Wolf DG, Hengel H, Mandelboim O.
654 2015. Dynamic Co-evolution of Host and Pathogen: HCMV Downregulates the Prevalent
655 Allele MICA*008 to Escape Elimination by NK Cells. Cell Rep.
- 656 44. Rölle A, Pollmann J, Ewen E-M, Le VTK, Halenius A, Hengel H, Cerwenka A. 2014. IL-
657 12-producing monocytes and HLA-E control HCMV-driven NKG2C+ NK cell
658 expansion. J Clin Invest 124:5305–5316.
- 659 45. Borst E-M, Messerle M. 2005. Analysis of Human Cytomegalovirus oriLyt Sequence
660 Requirements in the Context of the Viral Genome. J Virol 79:3615–3626.
- 661 46. Darling AJ, Boose JA, Spaltro J. 1998. Virus assay methods: accuracy and validation.
662 Biologicals 26:105–10.
- 663 47. Jao CY, Salic A. 2008. Exploring RNA transcription and turnover in vivo by using click
664 chemistry. Proc Natl Acad Sci 105:15779–15784.

665

666